IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No. 1052 of 2026

Date of decision: 25.11.2026.

Nirmal Singh Thakur ...Petitioner.

Versus

Amarjeet Singh & another ...Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Paras Dhaulta, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Vishavdeep Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge:

On 04.11.2026, this Court had passed the following directions:

> "Sequel to orders dated 06.10.2026 since the judgment was not complied with and despite directions, Respondent No.1-Secretary [Home], Government of Himachal Pradesh as well as Respondent No.2-Director General of Police-cum-Commandant, Himachal Pradesh, were not present; therefore, the matter was passed-over.
>
> 2. Upon listing of the matter in post-lunch session, appearing Respondents inform this Court that so far as directions in Para 18 (iii),

[1] ***Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes***

arrear bill on account of annual increment(s) due for the suspension period from 2000 to 2005 has been sent to concerned Treasury. On Instructions of Chief Fire Officer, appearing Respondents No.1 and 2, inform this Court that entire arrears on account of annual increment(s) shall be released/disbursed to the petitioner, within two weeks.

3. So far as directions in Para 18 (iv) of the judgment with respect to Assured Career Progression [ACP] are concerned, Respondent No.2 has placed on record a copy of Office Order dated 04.11.2026 [*Taken on Record*], whereby, the petitioner has been held entitled for ACP benefit on completion of 16 years of service and though formal order(s) entitling petitioner for ACP has been passed by Respondent No.2, yet, this Court hopes and trusts that admissible arrears on account of ACP benefits shall be released to the petitioner de facto, within a period of two weeks from today.

4. So far as the directions in Para 18 (v) of the judgment for releasing revised retiral benefits are concerned, appearing Respondents, submit that revised case for retiral benefits has been forwarded to Accountant General, Himachal Pradesh, for issuing the Revised Pension Payment Order [PPO]. In these circumstances, Let Respondents-Contemnors alongwith Chief Fire Officer, ensure that the Revised PPO is issued in favour of the petitioner, in case, there is no legal hitch.

> 5. Considering the matter in above perspective and the steps already taken and assured to be taken by the Respondents-Contemnors as well as Chief Fire Officer [*Instructing Officer*] for Respondents, this Court adjourns the matter, so as to enable the Respondents to ensure compliance of the judgment, in letter and spirit, by completing all codal formalities, within two weeks from today.
>
> 6. Let, Respondents-Contemnors, file their respective Compliance Affidavits and matter be listed on **25.11.2026**.
>
> 7. Personal appearance of the Respondents-Contemnors is dispensed with, in case, complete compliance of the judgment is made by the next date."

2. Upon listing of the matter, Respondents have filed Compliance-Affidavit. Perusal of Para-3 and Para-6 of the Compliance-affidavit indicates that the pay of the petitioner after allowing annual increments has been re-fixed on 05.08.2026 as per Annexure R-2/1. Compliance-Affidavit further indicates that the revised DCRG amounting to Rs. 67,518/- have also been released to the petitioner on 18.11.2026. Revised leave encashment amounting to Rs.54,450/- have been released to the petitioner on 16.11.26. Compliance-Affidavit further indicates that the pay arrears

amounting to Rs. 4,45,051/- have been released to the petitioner on 06.11.2026. Even the arrears on account of ACP of Rs. 1,44,422/- have been released to the petitioner on 21.11.2026 vide Annexure R-2/3.

3. In above backdrop, Respondents have averred in the Compliance-Affidavit that the judgment passed by this Court stands complied with.

4. Upon receipt of Compliance-Affidavit, Learned Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the compliance made by the Respondents in terms of the judgment.

5. Considering the matter in above perspective, since compliance has been made, nothing survives for determination and the instant proceedings are closed.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 25, 2026.
(kck)