IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

Ex. Pet. No.670 of 2026 a/w connected matters
Decided on: 5th November, 2026

---

1. Ex. Petition No.670 of 2026.

Perma Nand Sharma ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

2. Ex. Petition No.173 of 2026.

Brij Lal Panwar and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

3. Ex. Petition No.175 of 2026.

Raj Singh ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

4. Ex. Petition No.177 of 2026.

Khem Raj Sharma ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

5. Ex. Petition No.178 of 2026.

Hari Ram Chandel and another ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

6. Ex. Petition No.179 of 2026.

Inderjeet Singh and another ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

7. Ex. Petition No.180 of 2026.

Shanker Lal ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

8. Ex. Petition No.182 of 2026.

Amba Devi ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

9. Ex. Petition No.183 of 2026.

Uma Dutt ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

10. Ex. Petition No.185 of 2026.

Shiv Ram ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

11. Ex. Petition No.186 of 2026.

Santosh Kumari and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

12. Ex. Petition No.187 of 2026.

Laiq Ram ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

13. Ex. Petition No.188 of 2026.

Meenakshi Sharma ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

14. Ex. Petition No.189 of 2026.

Santosh Kumar and another ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

15. Ex. Petition No.190 of 2026.

Bhag Singh and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

16. Ex. Petition No.191 of 2026.

Tulsi Ram Kaundil ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

17. Ex. Petition No.192 of 2026.

Triloki Nath Gupta ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

18. Ex. Petition No.193 of 2026.

Ram Lok ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

19. Ex. Petition No.195 of 2026.

Hem Raj Panwar ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

20. Ex. Petition No.273 of 2026.

Triloki Nath ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

21. Ex. Petition No.275 of 2026.

Ram Krishan Rathore and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

22. Ex. Petition No.276 of 2026.

Nihal Chand and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

23. Ex. Petition No.277 of 2026.

Virender Sharma and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

24. Ex. Petition No.278 of 2026.

Mohan Lal and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

25. Ex. Petition No.284 of 2026.

Tula Ram and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

26. Ex. Petition No.359 of 2026.

Roshni Devi ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

27. Ex. Petition No.536 of 2026.

Jeet Ram Sharma ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

28. Ex. Petition No.540 of 2026.

Kala Devi ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

29. Ex. Petition No.541 of 2026.

Amar Singh Verma and others ....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

---

30. Ex. Petition No.821 of 2026.

Thakur Singh ....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P. and others ...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr.Justice Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners:	**Mr. Pranav Kaushal and Mr. Saurav, Advocates.**
For the respondents:	**Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Additional Advocate General.**

Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge (Oral)

Learned Additional Advocate General has produced instructions dated 04.11.2026, issued by the Director, Directorate of School Education, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1, along with other documents, which are taken on record.

---

[1] *Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

2. A perusal of aforesaid instructions reveals that the benefit has been extended to all the petitioners except Shri Laiq Ram (CWP No.13907 of 2025). As per information received from the Deputy Director of School Education, Solan, the pay fixation of petitioner Shri Laiq Ram is presently pending due to non-availability of his service book. The same has been sent to the office of the Accountant General, Himachal Pradesh for correction of his name in PPO (PPO No.79611). His pay fixation shall be carried out upon receipt of service book in the concerned office.

3. In view of the above, since the mandate contained in the judgments sought to be executed has duly been complied with, except petitioner Shri Laiq Ram, the same are disposed of as having been fully satisfied. However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner Shri Laiq Ram is not granted the benefit strictly as per the mandate contained in the judgment sought to be executed by him within a period of eight weeks from today, he shall be at liberty to file an application for revival of this execution petition.

4. The execution petitions are accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(**Jiya Lal Bhardwaj**)
Judge

5th November, 2026
(naveen)