IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.1491 of 2023 with

CWP No. 1507 of 2023

Date of Decision: 01.10.2026

---

1. **CWP No. 1491 of 2023**

The Bhagal Land Loosers Transport Co-operative Society Ltd.

…….Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others … Respondents

---

2. **CWP No. 1507 of 2023**

The Bhagal Land Loosers Transport Co-operative Society Ltd.

…….Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner(s): Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol & Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate Generals with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondent-State.

Mr. Surinder Saklani and Ms. Dhanvanti, Advocates, for respondent No.5, in both the petitions.

---

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

CMP Nos.25918 &25920 of 2026 in CWP No. 1491 of 2023
CMP No. 26919 of 2026 in CWP No. 1507 of 2023

For the reasons stated in the applications, the same are allowed and documents intended to be placed on record through instant applications are ordered to be taken on record. The applications stand disposed of.

CWP Nos. 1491& 1507 of 2023

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment dated 09.01.2026 passed by this Court in CWP No.2896 of 2018, titled **Rakesh Kumar and others vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and others.**

3. Having perused the averments contained in the petitions, especially relief clause vis-à-vis judgment dated 09.01.2026 passed by this Court in **Rakesh Kumar** case (supra), this Court finds that case of the petitioner is identical to the aforesaid case decided by this Court. Vide aforesaid judgment, this Court has already dealt with all the points raised in the instant petitions and as such, respondents can be directed to decide the case of the petitioner in light of the aforesaid judgment rendered by this Court.

4. Consequently, in view of the above, the directions in the aforesaid judgment rendered by this Court in Rakesh Kumar case (supra) are ordered to be made mutatis mutandis applicable in the

present cases for all intents and purposes. In the aforesaid terms, present petitions are disposed of alongwith pending application(s), if any.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

October 01,2026
(shankar)