HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62174
WP No. 36733 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010789542025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 36733 OF 2025 (SC/ST)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. RAJAPPA,
   S/O. LATE GANGABHOVI,
   AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS,

2. SRI. SHEKHARAPPA,
   S/O. LATE GANGABHOVI,
   AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,

3. SRI. RAMESH,
   S/O. LATE GANGABHOVI,
   AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,

4. SRI. MANJUNATH,
   S/O. CHANDRAPPA,
   AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,

   ALL ARE RESIDING AT
   JAIPURA, HAREHALLI POST,
   HOLALKERE TALUK-577 526.
   CHITRADURGA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONERS

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

(BY SRI. SOMASHEKHARAIAH R.P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
   DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
   BENGALURU-560 001.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT,
CHITRADURGA-577 501.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT,
CHITRADURGA-577 501.

4. SRI. RAJAPPA,
S/O. LATE BHUDAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,

5. SRI. ESHWARAPPA,
S/O. LATE BHUDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,

6. SRI. RAVI,
S/O. LATE BHUDAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS,

7. SRI. MALLAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
S/O. THIMMAPPA,

RESPONDENTS NO.4 TO 7 ARE
R/AT GANGPATHI ROAD,
HOLALKERE ROAD,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT.

8. SRI. NEELAKANTAIAH M.,
S/O. MURUGAIAH (SHASTRI),
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
DUGGANAL,
HOLALKERE TALUK-577 526.
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT.

9. ANNAPPA
S/O. CHANDRAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,

R/O. JAIPURA,
HOLALKARE TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577526.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SAVITHRAMMA, AGA FOR R1 TO R3;
R6 TO R8 ARE SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.Somashekaraiah.R.P., counsel for the petitioners and Smt.Savithramma., Additional Government Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3 appeared in person.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that he is not pressing the memo.

The oral submission regarding non pressing of memo is placed on record.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petition may be disposed of as withdrawn. When queried, counsel for the petitioners submits that a conscious decision is taken about the withdrawal of the petition and if an order is passed the petitioners shall not seek review of the order or modification of the order.

The oral submission is placed on record.

As the counsel on record has taken a conscious decision about the withdrawal of the petition, the Writ Petition is disposed of as withdrawn. Petitioners may approach the appropriate forum if so advised and if the law permits.

Because of disposal of the Writ Petition, all pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 7