HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62194
WP No. 35067 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010747512024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 35067 OF 2024 (CS-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. MR. UDAYA KUMAR,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
S/O. LATE PADMARAJA POOVANI,
NO.1-61-2, KODIMARU HOUSE,
KOYYUR, BELTHANGADY,
D.K-574214.

2. MRS. LAXMI,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
W/O. SANJEEVA NAIKA,
AGARTHYAR HOUSE,
UJIRE, D.K-574240.

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

3. MR. PUSHPARAJ,
S/O. RAVIRAJ MALLA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
1-22, ANTHARA HOUSE,
KOYYUR, D.K.-574214.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. AJAY PRABHU M., ADVOCATE - ABSENT)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT,
MANGALORE-575001.

2. THE ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
PUTTUR SUB-DIVISION,
PUTTUR-571201.

3. MRS. YAMUNA,
EXTENSION OFFICER,
DAKSHINA KANNADA SAHAKARI
HAALU UTHPADHAKARA OKKUTA, NI.,
BELTHANGADY CAMP,
BELTHANGADY TALUK-574214.

4. MR. PRAMOD KUMAR,
AGED ABOUT MAJOR,
S/O. DHARNAPPA GOWDA,
KOYYUR VILLAGE, MALEBETTU,
BELTHANGADY TALUK, D.K.-574214.

5. THE MANAGER
DAKSHINA KANNADA SAHAKARI
HAALU UTHPADHAKARA OKKUTA, NI.,
KULASHEKARA MANGALORE-575005.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. YOGESH D.NAIK, AGA FOR R1 AND R2;
SMT. TEJASWINI N., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. GIRISH B. BALADARA, ADVOCATE FOR R3 AND R5;
R4 SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Though the matter was called twice, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

The petition was listed on 04.11.2026, and even on that date, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Therefore, to afford a further opportunity to the counsel appearing for the petitioners, the matter was directed to be listed today. It was also made clear that, in the event of there being no representation on behalf of the petitioners, the petition would be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Today, when the matter was called, there was once again no representation on behalf of the petitioners. The conduct of the petitioners indicates that they are not interested in prosecuting the present petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

Because of dismissal of the Writ Petition, interim order granted if any stands discharged and pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 31