HC-KAR

CNR: KAHC010743122026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 05TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.33751 OF 2026 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. LAKSHMAMMA
   W/O LATE CHIKKALINGAIAH,
   AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
   R/AT PRABHUVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
   KASABA HOBLI, GUBBI TALUK,
   TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572 216.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. VIRUPAKSHAIAH P.H., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
   REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
   M.S. BUILDING,
   DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
   BENGALURU - 560 001.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
   TUMAKURU DISTRICT,
   TUMAKURU - 572 101.

3. THE TAHSILDAR
   GUBBI TALUK,
   GUBBI,
   TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572 216.

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. ADITYA DIWAKARA, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECT IN THE SIMILAR NATURE DIRECTING THE 3RD RESPONDENT AUTHORITY TO CONSIDER AND PASS, APPROPRIATE ORDERS ON THE REPRESENTATION DATED 20.12.2024 VIDE ANNEXURE-D FILED BY THE PETITIONER FOR EFFECTING PHODI AND DURASTH IN RESPECT OF THE LAND BEARING SY. NO. 146 MEASURING TO AN EXTENT OF 3 ACRES 31 GUNTAS SITUATED AT PRABHUVANAHALLI VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI, GUBBI TALUK, TUMAKURU DISTRICT EXPEDITIOUSLY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. In this writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents have not undertaken Durast and Phodi work in respect of the land in question.

3. The learned Additional Government Advocate submits that applications seeking Durast and Phodi are required to be made through the designated online portal and that, upon such application being submitted, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

4. Having regard to the said submission, this Court is of the view that no direction as sought for can be issued at this stage, as the petitioner has not availed the prescribed statutory procedure by submitting an application through the online portal.

5. Accordingly, the petitioner is reserved liberty to submit an appropriate application through the designated online portal seeking Durast and Phodi work in respect of the land in question. If such an application is submitted, the competent authority shall

consider the same in accordance with law and take an appropriate decision within a reasonable period.

6. With the above observations and liberty, the writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 43