HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:64363
WP No. 34107 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010736962026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 19TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 34107 OF 2026 (GM-FC)

BETWEEN:

MR.AJITH C.P.
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS
S/O MR POULOSE CHAKKIETH
R/AT VIJAYA NAGAR
HASSAN, TANEERTHALLA POST
HASSAN -573 201

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ASHWIN JOYSTON KUTINHA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

MS.SHINY M.S.
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS
MR.SHAJI V.P.
RESIDING AT
KOWAKRADY VILLAGE
KADABA TALUK
NELLYADY POST
BELTHANGADY TALUK
D.K.DISTRICT- 574 214

...RESPONDENT

Digitally signed by SUVARNA T
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE OBJECTIONS DATED 16-10-2026, VIDE ANNEXURE-C SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER AND

PROVIDE RESERVATION AS PER THE RULE 6 OF THE KARNATAKA GRAMA SWARAJ AND PANCHAYATH RAJ (TALUKA PANCHAYATH AND ZILLA PANCHAYATH RESERVATION ON PROPORTIONATE) RULES 2023.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

ORAL ORDER

Aggrieved by the order passed in M.C.No.236/2025 passed by I Addl. Prl. Judge, Family Court, Hassan, the petitioner is before this Court.

2. It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that I.A.Nos.3 and 4 were filed seeking initiation of civil proceedings for filing a false affidavit and for appropriate medical evaluation. It is submitted that IA Nos.2, 3 and 4 were decided together. There is no mention about IA Nos.3 and 4. Only there is mention about IA.No.2.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, perused the material on record. This Court has called for a

report, and in the report, it is stated by the learned, which reads hereinunder:

> ***"As the respondent seriously disputed and raised objections to those two interlocutory applications, this Court felt that evidence/inquiry is required to dispose of those two applications and the said applications can be effectively disposed of after the conclusion of evidence of the parties. As such other on those IA's have not been passed while passing the order on I.A.No.2 and the same has been stated in the order at page No.6, para No.13, at 14th and 15th line stating that "All other contentions of the parties requires trial". By oversight or otherwise, reference to I.A.No.3 and 4 is not mentioned in the order as well as the order sheet. The said mistake is not either intentional or deliberate."***

5. Considering the said report placed before this Court and as there is no reasoning, the trial Court shall pass a reasoned order on IA.Nos.3 and 4, specifically considering the case of the petitioner. Hence, this Court is passing the following:

ORDER

i. The Family Court shall pass a reasoned order on IA Nos.3 and 4 within a period

of two weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of the order.

ii. Accordingly, writ petition is ***disposed of.***

iii. All I.As. in this petition shall stand closed.

SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE

PKN
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 3
*AK