HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60580
CRL.P No. 16358 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010734642026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 16358 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

MUFEED LIHAS
S/O ABDUL KHADAR MUNAVAR,
AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS,
NO. 13/4, 8TH CROSS, DINNURU
MAIN ROAD, R.T. NAGAR,
BENGALURU, KARNATAKA.,
PIN CODE- 560060

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. UMME SALMA., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   SHO R.T. NAGAR PS
   REP. BY SPP
   HIGH COURT BUILDING
   BENGALURU-560001.

2. RIYAZUDDIN
   S/O LATE GOUSE MUKHUIDDIN
   AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS
   DOOR NO 878,6TH CROSS
   KUMBAR STREET, MADDURU ROAD
   KUNIGAL TUMKURU
   KARNATAKA,
   PIN CODE- 572130

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. M V ANOOP KUMAR, ADDL.SPP FOR R1;
SMT. NEHA ROY., ADVOCATE FOR R2)

Digitally Signed by ANANT
RAMNATH HEGDE

CNR: KAHC010734642026

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S 482 CR.PC (FILED U/S 528 BNSS) BY THE ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR BEARING CRIME NO. 364/2026, DATED 12.10.2026, REGISTERED BY R.T. NAGAR POLICE STATION, AND THE INVESTIGATION PENDING BEFORE THE HONBLE VIII ADDITIONAL CHIEF METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE COURT, BENGALURU, FOR THE ALLEGED OFFENCES PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTIONS 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) AND 352 OF THE BHARATIYA NYAYA SANHITA, 2023, INSOFAR AS THE PETITIONERS/ACCUSED PERSONS ARE CONCERNED.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has filed Vakalath.

2. Same is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is present. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 is present. Petitioner and respondent No.2 are present.

4. The petition is filed to quash the criminal proceedings in FIR in Crime No.364/2026 registered on 12.10.2026 for the offences under Section 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) and 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

Digitally Signed by ANANT RAMNATH HEGDE

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the defacto complainant No.2 have filed joint application.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent submit that the dispute is settled and filed the joint application seeking permission of the Court to compound the offence and to quash the proceeding.

7. The application is signed by both the parties. It is supported by the affidavit.

8. The Court has perused the contents of the FIR, the application, as well as the complaint.

9. The petitioner is the son-in-law and the second respondent is the father-in-law. The matter is settled. The second respondent who is present before the Court submits that the dispute is settled.

10. Considering the fact that the alleged incident has taken place on account of a sudden unexpected altercation and also considering the fact that the petitioner

Digitally Signed by ANANT
RAMNATH HEGDE

and the second respondent are relatives, the Court does not find any impediment to allow the application.

11. The petition is ***allowed***.

12. The proceeding in FIR in Crime No.364/2026 registered on 12.10.2026 is quashed.

Digitally Signed by ANANT RAMNATH HEGDE

(ANANT RAMANATH HEGDE)
JUDGE

brn
List No.: 3 Sl No.: 1