HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60015
WP No. 32936 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010720012026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 32936 OF 2026 (LB-BMP)

BETWEEN:

MR. JOHN PRAKASH C
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
S/O CHINNAPPA,
R/AT NO.70/3, 4TH CROSS,
BANNERGHATTA ROAD,
M.L.A, LAY OUT, KALENAGARHARA
BENGALURU SOUTH-560076,

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B S NAGARAJ.,ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by VANAMALA N
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF MUNICIPAL
ADMINISTRATION M.S.BUILDING,
DR. AMBEDKAR VEEDI,
BENGALURU 560 001.

2. THE CHIEF COMMISSIONER
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY,
BENGALURU-560002.

3. THE JOINT COMMISSIONER
GREATER BENGALURU AUTHORITY,
BENGALURU SOUTH CITY CORPORATION,,
2ND BLOCK, 9TH CROSS,
JAYANAGARA, BENGALURU-560011.

4. THE ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER
GREATER BENGALURU
AUTHORITY,
BEGUR SUB-DIVISION,
BEGUR WARD NO.192,
BOMMANAHALLY ZONE,
BENGALURU-560068,

5. SRI. CHOWRIRAJ,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
S/O ANTHONY SWAMY
NO.479, ARPITHA NILAYA,
JANAPRIYA LAYOUT,
NYANAPPANAHALLI,
ARAKERE POST
BENGALURU-560076.

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.CHANDINI S., HCGP FOR R1;
SRI. PAWAN KUMAR., ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE KATHA GENERATED DATED, 30.09.2025, AT 3.30 P.M, BY CONSIDERING THE APPLICATION NO.202608060072514, BY ONLINE, FOR B TO A KHATHA, EPID NO.2438479488, DATED, 06.08.2026 MADE BY THE RESPONDNET NO.3, JOINT

COMMISSIONER, IN FAVOUR OF THE RESPONDNET NO.5. THE ON LINE COPY OF THE SAME IS SUBMITTED HEREWITH AT ANNEXURE-A.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B M SHYAM PRASAD

ORAL ORDER

The petitioner's grievance is with the fifth respondent being admitted to the benefit of revenue entries for property bearing No.47/2 in EPID No. 2438479488 in Gottigere Village, Bengaluru – 560 076 [*the subject property*]. Mr. B. S. Nagaraj, the learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Chandini S, a learned High Court Government Pleader who accepts notice for the first respondent and Sri. Pawan Kumar, a learned standing counsel for the second to fourth respondents who accepts notice for these respondents, are heard for the disposal of the petition.

Sri. B. S. Nagaraj contends that the fifth respondent has been admitted to the revenue entries notwithstanding the petitioner's objections and a civil suit that is pending consideration. This Court must observe that the petitioner has an alternative remedy under Section 149[5] of the Greater Bengaluru Governance Act, 2024 a fact that is also emphasized by Sri. Pawan Kumar.

Hence, reserving liberty to the petitioner to avail such remedy, the petition stands ***disposed of.***

Sd/-
(B M SHYAM PRASAD)
JUDGE

AN/-