HC-KAR
CNR: KAHC010716902026

NC: 2026:KHC:61411
WP No. 33014 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

WRIT PETITION NO. 33014 OF 2026 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. M/S RELIFE MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES
A PARTNERSHIP FIRM, NO. 5,
2ND MAIN, 100 FEET RING ROAD,
OPP PESIT COLLEGE,
BSK 3RD STAGE, BENGALURU- 560085,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PARTNER
SRI. HARSHA C.S,
S/O SOMSHEKAR,
AGED 33 YEARS,
NO. 5, 2ND MAIN, 100 FEET RING ROAD,
OPP PESIT COLLEGE, BSK 3RD STAGE,
BENGALURU- 560085.
(REGISTERED UNDER INDIAN PARTNERSHIP ACT)

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SUSHMITHA SURESH.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE SERVICES,
AROGYA SOUDHA,
1ST MAIN ROAD, MAGADI ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560023,

2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE,

REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
AROGYA SOUDHA, MAGADI ROAD,
BENGALURU, KARNATAKA 560023

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. RAHUL CARIAPPA K S, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASHING THE NOTICE DATED 08.12.2025 BEARING NO. DD/PC AND PNDT/26/2018-19 ISSUED BY RESPONDENT NO.1 (ANNEXURE-A).

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

The present petition is filed seeking for the following reliefs:

> *"(a) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing the notice dated 08.12.2025 bearing No. DD/PC&PNDT/26/2018-19 issued by Respondent No.1 (Annexure-A);*
>
> *(b) Issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing Respondent No.1 to consider and renew the Petitioner's Registration No. MDKAR 17 pursuant to its application/request dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure-C).*
>
> *(c) Declare that the Petitioner's Registration No. MDKAR 17 stands deemed to have been renewed by reason of the failure of Respondent No.1 to renew it or to communicate a valid rejection within ninety days of the application dated 09.09.2025, (Annexure -C) and, in any in any event, continues to be valid and operative*

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA
MONNAPPA POONACHA

until the application for renewal is disposed of in accordance with law;

(d) Pass such other order or direction as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity. "

2. The petitioner being aggrieved by the notice dated 08.12.2025 (Annexure - A to the writ petition), as also seeking for consideration of the application for renewal dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure - C to the writ petition) is before this Court.

3. The case of the petitioner in a nutshell is that it was granted a certificate of registration on 02.11.2022 which was valid up to 01.11.2023. A copy of the said certificate of registration is produced as Annexure - B to the writ petition. Subsequently, the petitioner, vide communication dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure - C to the writ petition) had sought for renewal of the registration granted in its favour.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

4. Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 8 of the Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Regulation and Prevention of Misuse), Rules, 1996[1] reads as under:

> *"8.Renewal of registration.- (1) An application for renewal of certificate of registration shall be made in duplicate in Form A, to the Appropriate Authority thirty days before the date of expiry of the certificate of registration. Acknowledgement of receipt of such application shall be issued by the Appropriate Authority in the manner specified in sub-rule (2) of rule 4."*

5. A bare perusal of Sub-Rule (1) of Rule 8 of the Rules indicates that an application for renewal is to be made in duplicate in Form A, 30 days before the expiry of the certificate of registration.

6. Admittedly, in the present case, application for renewal is made on 09.09.2025 while the validity of the registration was till 01.11.2023.

7. *Ex facie,* the application dated 09.09.2025 sought to be relied upon by the petitioner, cannot be construed as an application for renewal under Sub Rule (1) of Rule 8 of the Rules.

[1] Hereinafter referred to as the "Rules"

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

8. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as being devoid of merit.

9. Pending IAs., if any, stand disposed of.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE

Vmb
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 37