HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:59375
WP No. 32630 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010712222026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 32630 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI MANJA BOVI
   S/O GURUVA BOVI
   AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
   AGRICULTURIST
   R/AT DUGGENAHALLI VILLAGE,
   VADDARA HATTI, HIRISAVE HOBLI,
   MASKANAHALLI POST,
   CHANNARAYAPATNA TALUK,
   HASSAN DISTRICT -573116.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. H MOHAN KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REP. BY PRINICPAL SECRETARY
   DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
   M S BUILDING
   BENGALURU-560001.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
   HASSAN DISTRICT, HASSAN -573201.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
   HASSAN SUB DIVISION
   HASSAN-573201.

4. THE TAHASILDAR,
CHANNARAYAPATNA TALUK,
CHANNARAYAPATNA
HASSAN DISTRICT-573116.

SMT. LAKSHMAMMA
SINCE DECEASED BY LRS.

5. SMT. SUNANDA, W/O PUTTARAJU,
R/AT DUGGENAHALLI VILLEGE,
HIRISAVE HOBLI, MASKANAHALLI POST,
CHANNARAYAPATNA TALUK,
HASSAN DIST 573116.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA, FOR R1 TO R4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE AN ORDER, DIRECTION OR WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI QUASHING THE ORDER DATED 03.09.2026 IN REV. APPEAL NO. 132/2023, VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

2. In this writ petition, petitioner is assailing the order dated 03.09.2026 in Revenue Appeal No.132/2023 (Annexure-A), order dated 22.12.2017 (Annexure-F)

passed by respondent No.3 and order dated 14.02.2023 (Annexure-K) passed by the respondent No.2.

3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on careful consideration of the order dated 04.09.1967 (Annexure-D) wherein the father of the petitioner herein is the respondent No.3 before the Deputy Commissioner, wherein the grant made in favour of the father of the petitioner herein came to be cancelled.

4. In that view of the matter, after lapse of fifty five years , the petitioner cannot claim right over the land in question. Therefore, I am of the view that, no interference is called for in this writ petition as there is no perversity in the orders passed by the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal and the respondent - Authorities.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

BKM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 34