HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62932
WP No. 32575 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010710022026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 13TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 32575 OF 2026 (GM-FC)

BETWEEN:

K.R. VINAY KUMAR GURIKAR
S/O. RAMACHANDRA RAO
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.103, SHASTRY APARTMENTS,
COCONUT AVENUE ROAD, 8TH CROSS,
MALLESHWARAM
BANGALORE - 560 003

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. AKSHATHA SHETTY K., ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by SUVARNA T
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

MRS. K. V. CHAITHRA
WIFE OF MR. K.R. VINAY KUMAR
DAUGHTER OF SRI A.S. VIJENDRA BABU
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS
RESIDING AT 303, SRI SAI,
3 RD CROSS, NEAR MARUTHI BAKERY,
CHANNASANDRA, RAJARAJESHWARI NAGAR,
BENGALURU - 560 098

...RESPONDENT

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASHING THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 18.07.2026 PASSED BY THE LEARNED FAMILY COURT IN M.C.NO.676/2025 ON THE FILE OF VI

ADDITIONAL PRL JUDGE, FAMILY JUDGE, BANGALORE ON I.A. NO.II, VIDE ANNEXURE-A, IN SOFAR AS THE SAME ADVERSELY AFFECTS THE RIGHTS AND INTERESTS OF THE PETITIONER.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

ORAL ORDER

Aggrieved by the order dated 18.07.2026 passed in IA No. II in M.C. No. 676/2025 by the VI Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Bangalore, the petitioner/husband is before this Court.

2. The respondent/wife has filed MC.No.676/2025 seeking divorce and has also filed an application, IA No. II, seeking maintenance under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act. While the said application is pending, the petitioner herein has submitted before the court, and the court has recorded that the husband has stated that he would pay not less than Rs.30,000/- to the wife every month. It is submitted that, if possible, he may pay more than Rs.30,000/-, based on business, on the 15th of every calendar month. In view of the

submission made by the husband, the IA No. 2 is kept in abeyance. For the petitioner's side evidence, call on 05.09.2026.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he has been taking care of all the requirements of the children and the wife. He cannot pay Rs.30,000/- but can pay Rs.20,000/-. It is further submitted that the petitioner was unaware of the consequences of making such a submission before the Court.

4. It is submitted that as the IA is kept in abeyance, there may be a direction to the Family Court to decide the IA and he may be directed to continue to pay Rs.20,000/- per month.

5. Having heard the counsel for the petitioner, perused the material on record. When the party himself is present before the Court and submits that he is ready to pay Rs.30,000/- per month, the Family Court recorded the submission and kept IA.No.2 in abeyance. Now, the learned counsel submits that he is unaware of the consequences of the

submission. When a party goes before the Family Court and himself submits that he is ready to pay Rs.30,000/-, he cannot subsequently come before this Court by filing such an application. This Court cannot interfere with the said order, which is made on the admission made by the party. However, as far as the pending IA is concerned, the Court is of the view that it has to be dealt with independently. In that view of the matter, this Court is passing the following:

ORDER

i. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***disposed of,*** directing the Trial Court to consider IA.No.2 as expeditiously as possible, until the said application is decided.

ii. As agreed before the Family Court, husband shall pay Rs.30,000/-.

iii. No notice is required to the respondent, as no adverse orders were passed against the respondent.

iv. Pending IAs., if any, shall stand closed.

SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE

BH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 19
*AK