HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62046
WP No. 32718 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010707062026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 06TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

WRIT PETITION No.32718 OF 2026 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. KRISH VORA
   AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS,
   8, 3RD FLOOR,
   BALRAJESHWAR APRATEMENT,
   MULUND
   MUMBAI-400 080

2. MANISH SONI,
   AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
   B/403, PADMAVATI CHS,
   DUMPING ROAD, MULUND,
   MAHARASHTRA -400 080

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI PRAVEEN THIMMAIAH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by R MANJUNATHA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   BY WHITEFIELD P.S. BANGALORE,
   NOW AT CCB-EOW, BANGALORE
   REP. BY THE SPP OFFICE,
   HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
   BENGALURU- 560 001.

2. VIBHASH RANIAN
   S/O RAJENDRA PRASAD,
   AGE 44 YEARS,
   OCC : BANK EMPLOYEE.
   R/AT A207, RSUN PALMS,
   BLOCK-A, SY.NO.42,

RAMAGONDANAHALLI,
WHITEFIELD, BENGALURU CITY.
KARNATAKA – 560 066

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.K.P.YASHODHA, ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE FOR R1;

SRI PRASANNA KUMAR.S, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA R/W SECTION 482 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE PRAYING TO QUASHING THE FIR THE RESPONDENT No.1 REGISTERED AT WHITEFIELD POLICE STATION NOW AT CCB- EOW, BANGALORE IMPUTING THE PETITIONERS HEREIN AS ACCUSED NO.4 AND 5 FOR THE OFFENCES PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 318(2), 318(4) AND 3(5) OF THE BHARATIYA NYAYA SANHITA, 2023 PENDING ON THE FILE OF THE ADDL. CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE (ACJM) BANGALORE VIDE ANNEXURE –A DATED 26.03.2026 AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL ORDER

Sri S.Prasanna Kumar, learned counsel files vakalath for respondent No.2.

2. Parties present. They file a joint affidavit along with copy of the settlement agreement.

3. On enquiry, parties submit that the matter is amicably settled among them. They agree that the contents of the

affidavit are true and correct and there is no force or undue influence in arriving at a compromise among the parties.

4. Taking note that the settlement among the parties is voluntary and did not have any serious impact on the Society at large, placing the joint affidavit on record, the pending criminal proceedings can be quashed.

5. View of this Court is supported by the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Ramgopal and another vs. State of Madhya Pradesh*** reported in ***(2022)14 SCC 531***.

6. Accordingly, the following:

ORDER

i. Placing the joint affidavit on record, petition stands ***allowed***.

ii. Consequently, case in Crime No.0248/2026 dated 26.03.2026 registered by respondent No.1, stands *quashed*.

Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

kcm
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 53
CT:VRR