HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61059
WP No. 32168 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010704702026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

WRIT PETITION NO. 32168 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

SHRI. B. MUNISWAMY
S/O LATE BOODATTAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
R/A NO. 219, VIJAYKUMAR LAYOUT,
ANEKAL, BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT - 562 106

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ASHOK T, ADVOCATE)

AND:

SMT. VENKATAMMA
W/O LATE MADURAPPA @ CHINNAPPA,
SINCE DECEASED REPRESENTED
BY HER LEGAL HEIRS

ANNAIAH @ ANNAYAPPA
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
S/O LATE MADURAPPA @ CHINNAPPA,
SINCE DECEASED REP. BY HIS LR'S

1. SMT. ANJINAMMA,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
W/O LATE ANNAIAH @ ANNAYAPPA.

2. SMT. MANJULA,

Digitally signed by VINUTHA B S
Location: High Court of Karnataka

AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
D/O LATE ANNAIAH @ ANNAYAPPA.

3. SMT. BHAVANI,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
D/O LATE ANNAIAH @ ANNAYAPPA.

NARAYANA @ NARAYANASWAMY
S/O LATE MADURAPPA @ CHINNAPPA,
SINCE DECEASED REP. BY HIS LR'S

4. SMT. NETHRAVATHI,
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
W/O LATE NARAYANA @ NARAYANASWAMY.

5. MR. VINAY NARAYANASAMY,
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
S/O LATE NARAYANA @ NARAYANASWAMY.

6. MS. ANUSHA,
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,
D/O LATE NARAYANA @ NARAYANASWAMY.

7. SHRI. BAIYANNA
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,
S/O LATE MADURAPPA @ CHINNAPPA.

RESPONDENT NOS. 4 TO 7 ALL ARE
RESIDING AT NEAR MARAMMA TEMPLE,
SORUHUNASE VILLAGE, VARTHUR HOBLI,
BENGALURU EAST TALUK - 560 087.

SMT. MUNIYAMMA
W/O LATE BOODATTAPPA,

SINCE DECEASED REPRESENTED
BY HER LEGAL HEIRS

8. SMT. CHANNAMMA
AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
D/O LATE BOODATTAPPA,
R/A NO. 219, VIJAYKUMAR LAYOUT,
ANEKAL, BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT - 562 106.

SMT. JAYAMMA
D/O LATE BOODATTAPPA,
SINCE DECEASED REP. BY HER LR'S

9. SHRI. BASAVARAJU,
HUSBAND OF LATE JAYAMMA,
AGED 77 YEARS.

10. SMT. ROOPA,
D/O LATE JAYAMMA,
AGED 44 YEARS.

11. SMT. SUMITHRA,
D/O LATE JAYAMMA,
AGED 35 YEARS.

12. SMT. NETHRA,
D/O LATE JAYAMMA,
AGED 43 YEARS.

13. SMT. SHWETHA,
D/O LATE JAYAMMA,
AGED 44 YEARS.

RESPONDENT NOS. 7 TO 11 ARE R/AT

GUDDANAHALLI VILLAGE, ANEKAL TALUK,
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT,
BENGALURU - 562 106.

14. SHRI. HARISH M
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,
S/O LATE BOODATTAPPA.

15. SHRI. GIRISH M
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,
S/O LATE BOODATTAPPA.

RESPONDENT NOS. 12 AND 13 ARE
R/AT NO. 219, VIJAYKUMAR LAYOUT, ANEKAL,
BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT - 562 106.

16. SHRI. J SRIDHAR REDDY
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS
S/O J. LAKSHMAIAH,
R/A NO. 333, 3RD FLOOR, ASTER BLOCK,
VIJAYASRI ELIXIR, BOREWELL ROAD,
NALLURAHALLI, BENGALURU - 560 066.

17. SMT. BHAGYALAKSHMI T.K,
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS,
W/O MUNISWAMY B.

18. SHRI. ARUN KUMAR M
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
S/O MUNISWAMY B.

19. SHRI. AMARNATH M
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
S/O MUNISWAMY B.

20. SMT. ASHWINI N M
AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS,
D/O MUNISWAMY B.

RESPONDENT NOS. 12 TO 18 ARE
R/AT NO. 75, 5TH CROSS,
SHAMBULINGESHWARA LAYOUT,
CHOLANAYAKANAHALLI,
RT NAGAR POST, BENGALURU - 560 032.

…RESPONDENTS

(VIDE ORDER DATED 03.11.2026, NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS IS DISPENSED WITH)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE LEARNEDIII ADDITIONAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, BANGALORE RURAL DISTRICT, BANGALORE, IN OS NO. 272/2017 IN ANNX-B TO COMMENCE RECORDING EVIDENCE AND FINALLY ADJUDICATE THE MAIN SUIT WITHIN A PERIOD OF 4 MONTHS AND PASS ANY ORDERS AS THIS COURT DEEMS FIT IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

ORAL ORDER

The present writ petition is filed seeking the limited relief of expeditious disposal of O.S. No. 272/2017, pending before

the III Additional Senior Civil Judge, Bengaluru Rural District, Bengaluru.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the suit is one for declaration and possession. It is further submitted that, despite the lapse of nearly nine years since its institution, the suit remains at the stage of the plaintiff's evidence.

3. Considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Having regard to the limited nature of the relief sought, this Court is inclined to dispense with the issuance of notice to the respondents.

5. The suit, instituted in the year 2017, is one for declaration and possession. It is brought to the notice of this Court that one of the plaintiffs was aged about 80 years as on the date of institution of the suit, while the other parties are stated to be in the age group of 50 to 80 years. Despite having been instituted in the year 2017, the suit has not progressed

beyond the stage of the plaintiff's evidence. The matter, therefore, warrants expeditious consideration.

6. In view of the above, the Trial Court is directed to dispose of O.S. No. 272/2017 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eighteen months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above observations and directions, the writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

Sd/-
(K. V. ARAVIND)
JUDGE

VN
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 3