HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:63209
WP No. 32219 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010702882026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 16TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 32219 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SRI BEERAPPA S.,
   S/O. LATE SAMPALLAPPA,
   AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
   R/AT NO.102, 1ST FLOOR,
   GANESH MANDIRA ROAD,
   THYAGARAJA NAGAR, 2ND BLOCK,
   BENGALURU - 560 028.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. NATARAJA, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by VIJAYA P
Location: High Court of Karnataka

**AND:**

1. SRI SYED FAROOQ AHMED,
   S/O. SRI. SYED AHMED,
   AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS,
   R/AT FLAT NO.42102, 10TH FLOOR,
   PRESTIGE FALCON CITY APARTMENT,
   KONANAKUNTE CROSS,
   KANAKAPURA ROAD,
   BENGALURU - 560 078.

2. M/S. JABM ONE SOURCE PVT. LTD.,
   A PRIVATE LIMITED COMPANY,
   REGISTERED UNDER THE
   COMPANIES ACT, 1956,

HAVING REGISTER OFFICE AT:
2ND MAIN ROAD,
NILA INVESTMENT LAYOUT,
BETTADASANAPURA,
ELECTRONIC CITY PHASE-I,
BENGALURU - 560 100.
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR,
RVSN ANE RAM TEJA ARIGALA.

3. SRI. SUNIL MORE SHIVAJI RAO,
MANAGING DIRECTOR,
M/S. JABM ONE SOURCE PVT. LTD.,
2ND MAIN ROAD,
NILA INVESTMENT LAYOUT,
BETTADASANAPURA,
ELECTRONIC CITY PHASE-I,
BENGALURU - 560 100.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH SET ASIDE THE ORDER DATED 22.08.2026 PASSED ON IA NO. 1 IN O S NO. 6207/2026 PENDING BEFORE THE XLIII ADDL. CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE BENGALURU (CCH-44) WHEREBY THE LEARNED TRIAL COURT GRANTED EX-PARTE TEMPORARY INJUNCTION AGAINST THE PETITIONER AS PER ANNX-A AND ETC,.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

**ORAL ORDER**

This petition is filed impugning the order dated 22.08.2026, whereby an *ex-parte* temporary injunction was granted under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the impugned order is not sustainable in law.

3. This Court is not inclined to entertain this petition, as the petitioner has a remedy of seeking vacation or modification of the *ex-parte* temporary injunction before the Trial Court. The application seeking vacation or modification of the said order is required to be adjudicated by the Trial Court within a time-bound period.

4. In that view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition. However, it is observed that, if an application seeking vacation of the *ex-parte* temporary injunction is filed, the Trial Court shall consider and dispose of the same within six weeks from the date of its filing.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

**SD/-**
**(K. V. ARAVIND)**
**JUDGE**

DDU
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 15