HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:59799
WP No. 31838 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010696132026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 31838 OF 2026 (CS-RES)

BETWEEN:

SHASHIKALA
W/O SHRIDHAR
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
R/AT NO.3-27 NANU POOJARI COMPOUND
SURAKSHA NAGARA,
KUMPALA SOMESHWARA,
KOTEKAR POST, MANGALORE,
DAKSHINA KANNADA - 575 022.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. ANURAG KINI K., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. DHANANJAY KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE ASSISTANT REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
MANGALURU SUB-DIVISION,
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT,
MANGALURU - 575 003.

2. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES
MYSURU REGION,
MYSURU - 570 005.

3. THE DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF
CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
MANGALURU,
DAKSHINA KANNADA DISTRICT - 575 003.

4. MANGALORE CO-OPERATIVE BUILDING SOCIETY LTD, MANGALORE
(SOCIETY REGISTERED UNDER KARNATAKA CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES ACT)
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT
CLASSIC ARCADE, 1ST FLOOR,
K.S.RAO ROAD, MANGALORE - 575 001.
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGER.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. YOGESH D. NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Sri.Anurag Kini K., counsel on behalf of Sri.Dhananjay Kumar, for the petitioner and Sri.Yogesh D.Naik, Additional Government Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3 appeared in person.

2. This petition is filed seeking following reliefs:

(i) Issue a writ of certiorari, to quash the impugned Form No.8 and 9 notice issued by the respondent No.1 dated 31.08.2026, insofar

as it relates to the petitioner vide Annexure-A & A1.

(ii) Issue any other order or direction under the facts and circumstances of the present case, to grant such other relief as this Hon'ble Court would deem fit to be granted in the interest of justice and equity.

3. Counsel for the respective parties urged several contentions. Heard the arguments and perused the papers with care.

4. It is sufficient to note that the ARCS passed the award dated 25.11.2015, which has not been challenged by the petitioner and has consequently attained finality. Pursuant to the said award, a notice in Form 8 and 9 has been issued. In the absence of any challenge to the award, the petitioner cannot maintain a challenge to the impugned notice.

5. In view of the foregoing, the writ petition is devoid of merit and is accordingly ***dismissed***.

Because of dismissal of the Writ Petition, interim order granted if any stands discharged and pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 3