HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:59770
WP NO.31188 OF 2026

CNR: KAHC010679722026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.31188 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. HEMA
W/O JAVAREGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
R/AT ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

2. B. SUJATHA
W/O C. BABU,
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
R/AT NEAR NDRK COLLAGE,
UDAYAGIRI,
HASSAN - 573 201.

3. ANITHA H.S.
D/O SHIVANNA,
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
R/AT NO.110, ADDIMANE ROAD,
RAJ KUMAR NAGAR,
HASSAN - 573 201.

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

4. MANJUNATHA A.P.
S/O PUTTARAJU R.,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
R/AT ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

5. MAMATHA A.S.
W/O KRISHNAMURTY,
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS,

R/O GUNDASHETTIHALLI,
SALAGAME HOBLI,
DODDAGADDUVALLI,
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

6. NEELAMMA
W/O MANJUNATHA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
R/O ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

7. JYOTHI
W//O PUTTARAJU,
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
R/O 1ST STAGE, VIJAYANAGARA EXTENSION,
HASSAN - 573 201.

8. POOJA
W/O RAVIKUMARA,
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
R/O ANACHIHALLI GRAMA,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRCT - 573 201.

9. UMESHA A.P.
S/O PUTTASWAMY,
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
R/O ANACHIHALLI GRAMA,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRCT – 573 217.

10. SHRINIVASA
S/O KRISHNEGOWDA,
R/AT KANDALI,
KASABA HOBLI,
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 570 217.

11. PARAMESHA A.B.
S/O BASAVEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
R/O ANACHIHALLI GRAMA,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

12. MADHUKUMAR K.A.
S/O ANNEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,
R/AT EACHALALLI, KANDALI,
KASABA HOBLI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

13. DEEPIKA H.A.
W/O MADHU KUMARA K.A.
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
R/O ICHALA HALLI, BYLAHALLI ROAD,
KATIHALLI, KANDALI,
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

14. BHANUMATHI B.S.
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS,
R/O ANACHIHALLI, KANDALI POST,
ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

15. SHRUTHI H.A.
W/O HALAGE GOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
R/O NO.56, BYALAHALLI ROAD,
OPP. RAMADEVARA TEMPLE,
DODDAKANAGAL POST,
VARTHIKERE,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

16. BALU K.
S/O KRISHNEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS,

R/O ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

17. KIRANA K.
S/O KRISHNEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
R/O NO.196, BELUR ROAD,
J.H. KERE POST,
ANACHANEHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

18. LOKESHA
S/O SIDDEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
R/O ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI, ALUR TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

19. KAMALAMMA
W/O SRINIVASA,
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
R/AT NO.42, ANACHIHALLI,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

20. ASHA S.B.
W/O LAXMAN ULLIGERI,
AGED ABOUT 41 EYARS,
R/AT NO.99/1, EACHALAHALLI,
KANDALI,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

21. SHIVEGOWDA
C/O KARIGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
R/AT NO.58, ANACHIHALLI,
KANDALI,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

22. ANAND
S/O LATE GUDDEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
NO.62, BYLAHALLI ROAD,
ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI, KASABA HOBLI,
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

23. MOHAN KUMAR K.K.
C/O KRISHNEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI, KASABA HOBLI,
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

24. KAVITHA
C/O UDYA KUMAR,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
THANNIRHALLA,
R/O GUDDENAHALLI KOPPALU,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

25. SUMITHRA
W/O DHARMEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 217.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. GIRISH B. BALADARE, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BENGALURU - 560 001.
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
HASSAN DISTRICT,
HASSAN - 573 201.

3. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
HASSAN SUB-DIVISION,
HASSAN - 573 201.

4. THE TAHASILDAR
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN - 573 201.

5. THE PANCHAYATH DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
KANDALI GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
KANDALI, HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

6. REGIONAL COMMISSIONER
MYSURU DIVISION,
MYSURU - 570 005.

7. JAGADISHA
S/O ANNEGOWDA,
AGE: MAJOR,
R/AT ANACHIHALLI VILLAGE,
KANDALI POST,
HASSAN TALUK,
HASSAN DISTRICT - 573 201.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R4 AND R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH ORDER DATED 27TH MAY, 2026 IN L.N.D:459/25-26 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3-ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, HASSAN SUB-DIVISION, HASSAN AGAINST RESPONDENT NO.5-PANCHAYATH DEVELOPMENT OFFICER,

KANDALI GRAMA PANCHAYATH, HASSAN TALUK, HASSAN AS VIDE ANNEXURE-G AND CONSEQUENTLY ISSUE WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE DIRECTIONS TO THE RESPONDENT NO.4-TAHASILDAR, HASSAN TALUK, HASSAN AND RESPONDENT NO.5-PANCHAYATH DEVELOPMENT OFFICER, KANDALI GRAMA PANCHAYATH, HASSAN TALUK TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION OF PETITIONERS DATED 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2026 VIDE ANNEXURES 'J' AND 'K' AND PASS APPROPRIATE ORDERS THEREON IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Sri. Shamanth Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondents.

2. In this petition, petitioners are challenging the internal correspondence dated 27th May, 2026 (Annexure-G); wherein the respondent No.3-Assistant Commissioner directed the respondent No.5-Panchayath Development Officer to maintain *status quo* in respect of the applications made by the claimants for effecting E-Khatha of the subject land; *inter alia*

sought for a direction to the respondents 4 and 5 to consider the representations of petitioners dated 21st September, 2026 (Annexures 'J' and 'K') for effecting E-Khatha of the subject land.

3. Heard Sri. Girish B. Baladare, learned counsel appearing for petitioners and Sri. Shamanth Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondents 1 to 4 and 6.

4. Sri. Girish B. Baladare, learned counsel appearing for petitioners submits that, since the impugned communication dated 27th May, 2026 (Annexure-G) is an internal correspondence made by the respondent No.3 with the respondent No.5, the petitioner is not pressing the said relief and therefore, he sought for direction to the respondents 4 and 5 to consider the representations dated 21st September, 2026 (Annexures 'J' and 'K').

5. Taking into consideration the submission made by learned counsel appearing for petitioners, so also, the averments made in the writ petition, respondents 4 and 5 are

directed to consider the representations made by the petitioners dated 21st September, 2026 (Annexures 'J' and 'K') in accordance with law, within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of this order. Accordingly, writ petition is ***disposed.***

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 26