HC-KAR
NC: 2026:KHC:55265-DB
CCC No. 1285 of 2026
CNR: KAHC010668972026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO.1285 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

SRI K P RAJAN @ K P RAJANNA
S/O LATE POOJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 78 YEARS,
R/A NO.10, 'CHOWDESHWARI NILAYA',
KUMBENA AGRAHARA VILLAGE,
BIDARAHALLI HOBLI,
BENGALURU EAST TALUK,
BENGALURU-560067.

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. K. VIJAYA KUMAR., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI PARASHURAM SATTIGERI
MAJOR IN AGE,
THE TAHSILDAR,
BENGALURU EAST TALUK,
K.R.PURAM,
BENGALURU-560036.

2. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
BY ITS REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
M.S. BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560001.

...ACCUSED

(BY SMT. NAMITA MAHESH B.G., AGA FOR PROFORMA R2/ ACCUSED)

Digitally signed by PAVITHRA B
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

CNR: KAHC010668972026

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTION 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, BY THE COMPLAINANT WHEREIN PRAYS THAT THE HONBLE COURT BE PLEASED TO TAKE SUITABLE ACTION AGAINST THE ACCUSED NO.1 FOR HIS WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THE ORDER OF THIS HON BLE COURT VIDE ORDER DATED 05.06.2026 PASSED IN W.P.NO.17331/2024 (KLR-RES) PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-A.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
and
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

Deliberate disobedience of the order dated 05.06.2025 passed in W.P.No.17331/2024 is alleged.

2. However, it is noticed that in the direction made by the learned Single Judge of this Court the representation submitted by the petitioner on 11.03.2024 was directed to be considered within an outer limit of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. There is nothing on record to demonstrate as to certified copy of the order has been served on the

respondent No.2/Tahsildar pursuant to the order of the writ Court.

3. A memo has been filed by the learned counsel for the complainant seeking permission to withdraw the contempt petition with liberty to file a fresh contempt petition after submitting a fresh representation to the respondent No.1.

4. The contempt petition is accordingly consigned leaving it open to the complainant to file a fresh case if the representation is not decided within three months after due service of the certified copy of the order passed by the writ court, which shall be served within an outer limit of 15 days from today.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(N.V.BHAVANI)
JUDGE

DR
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 3