HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55798
WP No. 30271 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010660992026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 6TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 30271 OF 2026 (S-TR)**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SRI.SHIVARAJ,
   S/O LATE SURYAKANTH,
   AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT NO.3, 1ST FLOOR,
   SRI HIRAMANYEE, AAISHWARIAYA LAYOUT,
   MADAPPANAHALLI ROAD,
   NEAR SRI. VENUGOPALASWAMY TEMPLE,
   RAJANUKUNTE, BENGALURU-560 064

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.N.SURESH BABU., ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

Digitally signed by VIDYA G R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. KARNATAKA POWER CORPORATION LIMITED,
   A COMPANY REGISTERED UNDER INDIAN
   COMPANIES ACT, 1956
   HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
   'SHAKTHI BHAVAN',
   NO.82, RACE COURSE ROAD,
   BENGALURU-560 001.
   REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR

2. THE DIRECTOR
   (HUMAN RESOURCES)
   KARNATAKA POWER CORPORATION LIMITED,

HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
'SHAKTHI BHAVAN',
NO.82, RACE COURSE ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 001.
REG. UNDER INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1956

… RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. AJAY J NANDALIKE., ADVOCATE)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO A. ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR SUCH OTHER WRIT OR ORDER OR DIRECTION TO QUASH IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 01.09.2026 PASSED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT BEARING NO.A1P1A/1673 (A) WHICH IS PRODUCED AND MARKED AS ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

**ORAL ORDER**

The present petition has been filed calling in question the impugned order at Annexure-'A' dated 01.09.2026. It is to be noticed that the order of transfer was called in question by the petitioner in W.P.No.23267/2026, wherein this Court after a detailed consideration while refusing to interfere with the order of transfer had reserved liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation to the respondent

pointing out his difficulties which could be considered by the respondent Corporation.

2. The respondent Corporation having considered such request has passed an order at Annexure-'A' dated 01.09.2026, wherein, the request of the petitioner has been rejected.

3. The statement of objections are filed by the respondent Corporation and it is submitted that transfer has been effected due to administrative reasons.

4. Suffice it to say that the order of transfer having been upheld, the opportunity given to make a representation was considered by the respondent Corporation and rejected. In such matters, the Court cannot interfere. No doubt, the petitioner has another seven months of service left, but, in light of administrative reasons sought to be assigned and enumerated in the statement of objections and once decision is taken, this

Court does not intend to enter into adjudication of such reasons.

5. However, it is observed that, if there are changes and if the respondent Corporation at any point before the petitioner attains superannuation is in a position to reshuffle, liberty is reserved to the respondent Corporation to consider the request of the petitioner. Such observation is made solely on the ground that the petitioner is on the verge of retirement.

Accordingly, the petition is ***disposed of.***

**Sd/-**
**(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)**
**JUDGE**

VGR