HC-KAR
CNR: KAHC010648732026

NC: 2026:KHC:61017
WP No. 30062 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

WRIT PETITION NO. 30062 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

1. MR.RAMESH V @ RAMU
   S/O. VENKATESH,
   AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT NO.04,
   NEAR YALLAMMA TEMPLE,
   KARIYAPPANAHALLI VILLAGE,
   JIGANI HOBLI, ANEKAL TALUK,
   BENGALURU DISTRICT-562106.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. KUMARA K G.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
   HOME DEPARTMENT,
   VIDHANA SOUDHA,
   BENGALURU 560001.

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE AND
   SPECIAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE,
   BENGALURU DISTRICT,
   BENGALURU 560001.

3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
   ANEKAL SUB-DIVISION,

ANEKAL, BENGALURU DISTRICT - 562106.

4. THE POLICE INSPECTOR,
BANNERGHATTA POLICE STATION,
BENGALURU DISTRICT - 560083.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HARISHA A S, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION, QUASHING THE IMPUGNED SHOW-CAUSE NOTICE DATED 25.08.2026 BEARING NO.13/GADIPARU/BIDI/NOTICE/01/2026 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT (ANNEXURE B).

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

ORAL ORDER

The present writ petition is filed seeking for the following reliefs:

> *"a) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing the impugned show-cause notice dated 25.08.2026 bearing No.13/Gadiparu/BIDI/Notice/01/2026 issued by the 2nd Respondent (Annexure.B) and*
>
> *b) Issue such other Writ, Order or orders as this Hon'ble court be deems fit it necessary under the facts and*

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA
MONNAPPA POONACHA

circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity."

2. The petitioner assails the impugned order dated 25.08.2026 (Annexure- B to the writ petition) primarily on the ground that respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police and Special Executive Magistrate and did not have the jurisdiction to issue the said order dated 25.08.2026. Reliance is placed on a Co-ordinate Bench judgment of this Court in the case of ***Chandrakant Shankar Vaddar V/s State of Karnataka***[1]. Relevant portion of the said order reads as under:

"6. A conjoint reading of Sections 55 and 56 of the Act, 1963, leaves no manner of doubt that the power contemplated under the said provisions can be exercised only by the authorities expressly identified therein. Section 55 further contemplates that, in areas other than those falling within the jurisdiction of a Commissioner appointed under Section 7, the power may be extended by the Government only by issuing a notification in the Official Gazette to the District Magistrate or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate having jurisdiction and specially empowered in that behalf. Thus, the statutory scheme itself identifies the permissible authorities and the manner in which the power can be extended.

7. Learned Additional Government Advocate, Shri Sharad V. Magadum, has sought to sustain the conferment of powers upon the Superintendent of Police under Sections

[1] In Writ petition No.104096/2026 - 24.8.2026.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

55 and 56 of the Act, 1963, relying upon the notification dated 18.11.2025, which has been produced before this Court. The said notification consists of two parts. The first part purports to exercise powers under Section 15 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, "BNSS, 2023"), while the second part purports to appoint Additional District Magistrates and Special Executive Magistrates and, consequentially, to confer upon the Superintendent of Police powers under various provisions of the Act, 1963, including Sections 55 and 56.

8. The first and foremost impediment to sustaining the said notification is that it does not satisfy the express statutory requirement contained in Section 55 of the Act, 1963. The provision mandates that, in areas other than those where a Commissioner has been appointed, the extension of the power is to be made by notification in the Official Gazette. On a specific query from the Court, learned Additional Government Advocate fairly submitted that the notification dated 18.11.2025 has not been published in the Official Gazette.

9. It is a settled principle that a statutory notification which is required by the parent enactment to be published in the Official Gazette acquires legal efficacy only upon such publication. The notification cannot be treated as operative in a fragmented or incomplete manner. Publication in the Official Gazette is not an empty formality where the statute expressly prescribes it as a condition for the exercise of delegated statutory power.

10. Consequently, in the absence of publication of the notification dated 18.11.2025 in the Official Gazette, the statutory requirement under Section 55 of the Act, 1963, remains unfulfilled. The notification, therefore, cannot confer any jurisdiction upon the Superintendent of Police to exercise powers under Sections 55 and 56 of the Act, 1963. On this ground alone, the exercise of such power by the Superintendent of Police would be without jurisdiction."

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

3. Learned AGA upon instructions submits that subsequent to passing of the impugned order dated 25.08.2026, the State has issued a notification dated 17.10.2026 whereunder officers have been specified under Sections 55 and 56 of the Karnataka Police Act, 1963.

4. It is clear from the legal position as enunciated by this Court in the case of ***Chandrakant Shankar Vaddar***[1] that respondent No.2 is not empowered to issue the impugned order. Hence, the relief sought for in the present petition merits consideration.

5. Accordingly, the following:

ORDER

i. The Writ petition is partly allowed;

ii. The impugned order bearing No.13/Gadiparu/BIDI/Notice/01/2026 dated 25.08.2026 is hereby quashed.

6. This order will not preclude the respondent/authorities from initiating appropriate action in accordance with law.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

7. All rights and contentions of the parties in that regard are kept open.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

(C.M. POONACHA)
JUDGE

BS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 11