HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55184
WP No. 29462 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010645982026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 05TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.29462 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. DAKSHAYINI
   W/O LATE VENKATESHA
   AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
   R/O AV COLONY,
   LAKKAVALLI, LAKAVALLI HOBLI,
   TARIKERE TALUK,
   CHIKKAMAGALURU-577128.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SACHIN B.S., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
   CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT,
   CHIKKAMAGALURU-577228.

2. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
   THARIKERE SUB DIVISION,
   THARIKERE, CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT,
   CHIKKAMAGALURU-577228.

3. TAHSILDAR
   THARIKERE TALUK,
   CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT,
   CHIKKAMAGALURU-577228.

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

4. KOTAIAH
S/O YARRAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
R/O INDIRANAGARA, 3RD CROSS,
TAKIKERE TOWN, TARIKERE TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALURU-577228.

5. NAVEEN
S/O LATE VENKATESHA
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS
R/O AV COLONY,
LAKKAVALLI LAKAVALLI HOBLI,
TARIKERE TALUK
CHIKKAMAGALURU-577228.

6. SUDHA
W/O SUBBAIAH
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS
R/O AV COLONY,
LAKKAVALLI LAKAVALLI HOBLI,
TARIKERE TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALURU-577228.

7. SHRUTHI,
W/O RAJINI,
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS,
R/O AV COLONY,
LAKKAVALLI LAKAVALLI HOBLI,
TARIKERE TALUK,
CHIKKAMAGALURU-577228

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 30.06.2025 IN RP. NO.51/2023 AS PER ANNEXURE-A, ORDER DATED 24.11.2022 IN RA. NO. 39/2022-23 PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT AS PER ANNEXURE-B AND ORDER DATED 31.10.2023 IN NO. RRP (DISPUTE) 44/2023-24 PASSED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT AS PER ANNEXURE-C AND DIRECT THE RESPONDENT NO. 1, 2 AND 3 TO RESTORE THE NAME OF PETITIONER IN REVENUE ENTRY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. Sri. Shamanth Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. Heard Sri. Sachin B.S., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, and Sri. Shamanth Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent-State.

3. In this writ petition, the petitioner is assailing the order dated 30.06.2025 at Annexure-A; the order dated 24.11.2022 at Annexure-B, and the order dated 31.10.2023 at Annexure-C, passed by respondent Nos. 1 to 3 respectively.

4. The petitioner claims right over the property on the ground that petitioner's husband-R. Venkatesha, is the adopted son of deceased Venkatamma. However, no document evidencing the alleged adoption has been produced before this Court, nor has the petitioner obtained any declaration from the competent Civil Court declaring that the husband of the petitioner is the adopted son of deceased Venkatamma.

5. In that view of the matter, and as deceased Venkatamma died without leaving any issues, it is open for the petitioner to establish her right over the

property in a manner known to law and, thereafter, approach the revenue authorities for modification of the mutation entries, if so advised.

6. In view of the above, no perversity is found in the orders passed by the respondent-authorities. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***dismissed***.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 6