HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55181
WP No. 29608 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010645732026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 05TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.29608 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. K.G. CHANDRASHEKAR BHAT
   S/O LATE K. GOPAL BHAT
   AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS,
   OCC: AGRICULTURE
   R/O DOOR NO.40/41,
   2ND CROSS, 1ST MAIN ROAD,
   LPO SADASHIV NAGAR,
   BENGALURU -560003.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. GOPAL K.B., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REPRESENTED BY ITS
   PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
   REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
   VIDHANA SOUDHA,
   BENGALURU - 560001.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
   RAMANAGARA TALUKA,
   BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT -562159.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
   RAMANAGARA SUB-DIVISION,

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

RAMANAGARA TALUKA,
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT -562159.

4. THE TAHSILDAR,
RAMANAGARA TALUK,
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT -562159.

5. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR
BIDADI HOBLI
RAMANAGARA TALUK
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT – 562 109.

6. BANDAIAHA
S/O MUTTAIAH
MAJOR IN AGE.

7. SMT. ERAMMA
W/O OBALAIAH
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

8. SMT. GANGAMMA
W/O OBALAIAH
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

9. SMT. SHIVARATHNAMMA
W/O LATE BASAVARAJ.
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

10. SMT. GOURAMMA
D/O OBALAIAH
AGE : MAJOR,

OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

11. SMT. MANJULA
W/O LATE MUTTURAJ
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

12. SMT. RATHNAMMA
D/O OBALAIAH
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

13. SRI. NAGARAJU B.N.
S/O LATE NANJAPPA.
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: NOT KNOWN.

14. SMT. PUSHPALATHA
W/O MANJUNATH,
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

15. SMT. NANJAMMA
W/O RAMAIAH
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

16. SRI. KRISHNAMURTHY
S/O BASAVARAJ
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS,
OCC: NOT KNOWN.

17. SMT. YASHODA
D/O KRISHNAMURTHY

AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

18. SRI. MUTHURAJ
S/O OBALAIAH
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
OCC: NOT KNOWN.

19. SMT. LAVANYA
D/O LATE MUTHURAJ
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

20. SRI. MANJUANTH
S/O LATE KUTHURAJ
AGE 24 YEARS,
OCC : AGRICULTURE.

21. SMT. NAGAMMA
W/O BANDAIAH
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK.

22. SRI. MUNIRAJU
S/O BANDAIAH
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
OCC : AGRICULTURE.

23. SMT. ANJANAMMA
W/O MUNIRAJU
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC : AGRICULTURE.

24. SMT. MUTTULAXMI

W/O NAGARAJ B.N.
AGE :MAJOR,
OCC: AGRICULTURE

25. SRI. KUMAR KRUTKA
S/O B.N. NAGARAJ
AGE : MAJOR,
OCC :AGRICULTURE.

RESPONDENTS NO.5 TO 25 ARE
R/AT BANNEKUPPE VILLAGE,
BIDADI HOBLI
RAMANGAR TALUKU,
BENGALURU SOUTH DISTRICT-562159.

26. SRI. BALASUBRAMANYAM B.R.,
S/O RAMAKRISHNA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
PROPRIETOR, GSK BUILDERS
AND DEVELOPERS, NO.43,
5TH CROSS, WILSON GARDEN,
BENGALURU.

27. M/S. GSK BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS,
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR
BALASUBRAMANHIAN B.R.
NO.43, 5TH CROSS,
WILSON GARDEN,
BENGALURU -560027,
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING PARTNER
SRI. BALASUBRAMANYAM,
S/O RAMAKRISHNAPPA.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R5)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 27.11.2025 PASSED BY THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, RAMANAGARA, IN R.A.NO.222/2023, PRODUCED AS ANNEXURE-F; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 5.

2. Heard Sri. Gopal K.B., learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, and Sri. Shamanth Naik, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent-State.

3. In this writ petition, the petitioner has sought to reconsider the mutation proceedings afresh after

issuing notice to the petitioner and other concerned parties and such other reliefs;

4. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties, and as the mutation has been effected on the basis of the registered sale deed dated 23.01.2026, it is open for the petitioner to approach the competent Civil Court for adjudication of the dispute, as the matter is civil in nature.

5. In view of the above, no interference is called for in this writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***dismissed***.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

SB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 15