HC-KAR
CNR: KAHC010643722026

NC: 2026:KHC:60991
WP No. 29323 of 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 2ND DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 29323 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SRI. SAGAR B
S/O NARAYANA,
AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS,
R/AT DOOR NO.303, KENKEERAMMA
TEMPLE ROAD, BILIDEVALAYA,
KUNIGAL (RURAL), TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572130

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. MANJUNATH S.,ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
DEPARTMENT OF HOME,
VIDHANA SOUDHA, BENGALURU 560001.
REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,

2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
AND SPECIAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT,
TUMAKURU - 572101

3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
RAICHUR DISTRICT,
RAICHUR 584102

4. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
KUNIGAL SUB-DIVISION,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572101

5. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SINDHANUR SUB-DIVISION,

RAICHUR DISTRICT - 584128

6. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR,
SINDHANUR RURAL CIRCLE,
SINDHANUR, RAICHUR DISTRICT - 584128

7. THE POLICE INSPECTOR,
KUNIGAL POLICE STATION, KUNIGAL TALUK,
TUMAKURU DISTRICT - 572101

8. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
SINDHANUR RURAL POLICE STATION,
SINDHANUR, RAICHUR DISTRICT - 584128

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. HARISHA A S, AGA FOR R1 TO R3)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 24.08.2026 BEARING NO. MAG/GADIPARU/TUM/05/2026 PASSED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE AND SPECIAL EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE, TUMAKURU DISTRICT, VIDE ANNEXURE-A.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.M. POONACHA

**ORAL ORDER**

1. The present writ petition is filed seeking for the following reliefs:

> *"a) Issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari to quash the order dated 24.08.2026 bearing No. MAG/GADIPARU/TUM/05/2026 passed by the 2nd Respondent - The Superintendent of Police and Special Executive Magistrate, Tumakuru District, vide Annexure-A;*

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA
MONNAPPA POONACHA

*b) Grant such other reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice and equity."*

2. The petitioner assails the impugned order dated 24.08.2026 primarily on the ground that respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police and Special Executive Magistrate did not have the jurisdiction to issue the said order dated 24.08.2026 (Annexure -A to the writ petition). Reliance is placed on a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in the case of ***Chandrakant Shankar Vaddar V/s State of Karnataka***[1]. Relevant portion of the said order reads as under:

*"6. A conjoint reading of Sections 55 and 56 of the Act, 1963, leaves no manner of doubt that the power contemplated under the said provisions can be exercised only by the authorities expressly identified therein. Section 55 further contemplates that, in areas other than those falling within the jurisdiction of a Commissioner appointed under Section 7, the power may be extended by the Government only by issuing a notification in the Official Gazette to the District Magistrate or the Sub-Divisional Magistrate having jurisdiction and specially empowered in that behalf. Thus, the statutory scheme itself identifies the permissible authorities and the manner in which the power can be extended.*

*7. Learned Additional Government Advocate, Shri Sharad V. Magadum, has sought to sustain the conferment of*

[1] Writ petition No.104096/2026 - Order dated 24.8.2026.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

*powers upon the Superintendent of Police under Sections 55 and 56 of the Act, 1963, relying upon the notification dated 18.11.2025, which has been produced before this Court. The said notification consists of two parts. The first part purports to exercise powers under Section 15 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, "BNSS, 2023"), while the second part purports to appoint Additional District Magistrates and Special Executive Magistrates and, consequentially, to confer upon the Superintendent of Police powers under various provisions of the Act, 1963, including Sections 55 and 56.*

*8. The first and foremost impediment to sustaining the said notification is that it does not satisfy the express statutory requirement contained in Section 55 of the Act, 1963. The provision mandates that, in areas other than those where a Commissioner has been appointed, the extension of the power is to be made by notification in the Official Gazette. On a specific query from the Court, learned Additional Government Advocate fairly submitted that the notification dated 18.11.2025 has not been published in the Official Gazette.*

*9. It is a settled principle that a statutory notification which is required by the parent enactment to be published in the Official Gazette acquires legal efficacy only upon such publication. The notification cannot be treated as operative in a fragmented or incomplete manner. Publication in the Official Gazette is not an empty formality where the statute expressly prescribes it as a condition for the exercise of delegated statutory power.*

*10. Consequently, in the absence of publication of the notification dated 18.11.2025 in the Official Gazette, the statutory requirement under Section 55 of the Act, 1963, remains unfulfilled. The notification, therefore, cannot confer any jurisdiction upon the Superintendent of Police to exercise powers under Sections 55 and 56 of the Act, 1963. On this ground alone, the exercise of such power*

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA
MONNAPPA POONACHA

*by the Superintendent of Police would be without jurisdiction."*

3. Learned AGA upon instructions submits that subsequent to passing of the impugned order dated 24.08.2026, the State has issued a notification dated 17.10.2026 whereunder officers have been specified under Sections 55 and 56 of the Karnataka Police Act, 1963.

4. It is clear from the legal position as enunciated by this Court in the case of ***Chandrakant Shankar Vaddar***[1] that respondent No.2 is not empowered to issue the impugned order. Hence, the relief sought for in the present petition merits consideration.

5. Accordingly, the following:

**ORDER**

i. The Writ petition is partly allowed;

ii. The impugned order bearing No.MAG/GADIPARUTUM/05/2026 dated 24.08.2026 is hereby quashed.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

6. This order will not preclude the respondent/authorities from initiating appropriate action in accordance with law.

7. All rights and contentions of the parties in that regard are kept open.

Digitally Signed by CHEPPUDIRA MONNAPPA POONACHA

**(C.M. POONACHA)**
**JUDGE**

BS
List No.: 3 Sl No.: 4