HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62160
RP No. 548 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010642432026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

REVIEW PETITION NO. 548 OF 2026

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. NAGARAJU
S/O LATE SANJEEVAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
R/AT ALAMMANA PALYA,
TAVAREKERE HOBLI,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
BENGALURU DISTRICT - 562 130.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. S RAJENDRA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. SRI. NARASIMHAIAH
S/O LATE MUNIYAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/AT NO.40, IV MAIN,
BYATARAYANAPURA
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
BENGALURU - 560 092.

2. SRI. CHIKKANNA SWAMY
S/O LATE NARASIMHAIAH
AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS
R/AT NO.176/2 B-CROSS III MAIN,
AYYANNASHETTY EXTENSION, KAVIKA,
BYATARAYANAPURA, BENGALURU- 560 092.

3. SMT. SARASWATHAMMA
D/O LATE MUNIYAPPA
W/O GANGADHARAIAH
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS
R/AT DASEGOWDANAPALYA VILLAGE,
MAJARE ANKALA KOPPA,
NITTUR HOBLI, GUBBI TALUK,
TUMKUR DISTRICT - 572 123.

4. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, MS BUILDING,
BENGALURU-560 001.

5. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR,
CHOLANAYAKANAHALLI CIRCLE,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
BENGALURU - 560 032.

6. THE DEPUTY TAHASILDAR,
TAVAREKERE HOBLI,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
BENGALURU 562 130.

7. THE SPECIAL TAHASILDAR,
BENGALURU SOUTH TALUK,
KANDAYA BHAVAN,
1ST FLOOR, K G ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 001.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA)

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER ORDER 47 RULE 1 R/W SEC. 114 OF CPC, PRAYING REVIEW THE ORDER DATED 24.08.2026 IN WP NO.15914/2022 AND CONSEQUENTLY

DISMISS THE WRIT PETITION IN THE BEST INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.4 to 7.

2. In this Review Petition, petitioner is seeking review of the order dated 24.08.2026 in WP No.15914/2022.

3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties, I am of the view that, there is no error apparent on the face of the record as this Court has made it clear that, the respondent No.4 therein - Special Tahsildar, Bangalore South Taluk, has no jurisdiction as to issue the impugned endorsement therein to identify/certify that, who are in possession of the land in question.

4. In that view of the matter, I am of the view that, no interference is called for in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the review petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

BKM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 38