HC-KAR

CNR: KAHC010607952026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI

AND

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

WRIT PETITION NO. 27426 OF 2026 (GM-CON)

BETWEEN:

MPP TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED,
487/C14TH PHASE PEENYA
INDUSTRIAL AREA,
BENGALURU-560 058,
REP. BY ITS FINANCE MANAGER,
MR.DARSHAN M,
INCORPORATED UNDER COMPANIES ACT, 1956.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI.NITIN PRASAD, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by K G RENUKAMBA
Location: High Court of Karnataka

1. KARNATAKA STATE CONSUMER
DISPUTE REDRESSAL COMMISSION,
GROUND FLOOR, BASAVA BHAVAN,
SRI BASAVESHWARA CIRCLE,
HIGH GROUNDS, SHIVAJI NAGAR,
BENGALURU, KARNATAKA-560 001.

2. THE BRANCH MANAGER,
ECGC LIMITED, BANGALORE BRANCH,
RAHEJA TOWERS, 11TH FLOOR,
15-16 TRINITY CIRCLE, M.G.ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 001.

...RESPONDENTS

CNR: KAHC010607952026

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO (1) ISSUE APPROPRIATE DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF A WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING RESPONDENT NO.1 KARNATAKA STATE CONSUMER DISPUTE REDRESSAL COMMISSION TO DISPOSE OF C.C. NO.48/2021 (ANNEXURE-A), EXPEDITIOUSLY AND WITHIN SUCH TIME FRAME AS MAY BE FIXED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT (2) PASS SUCH OTHER OR FURTHER ORDERS AS THIS HON'BLE COURT MAY DEEM FIT AND NECESSARY IN THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS WRIT PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI
AND
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE N.V.BHAVANI

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT BANERJI)

Pursuant to the previous order of this Court dated 16.09.2026, a certified copy of the order sheet of Case No.CC/48/2021 stated to be pending before the Karnataka State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission[1] has been filed on 19.09.2026. The sole plea raised in this petition is for a direction to the State Commission to dispose of the aforesaid case expeditiously.

[1] State Commission

2. We have perused the order sheet and we find that on several occasions either the counsel for the complainant or the counsel for the respondent are absenting themselves. However, despite hearing arguments and reply arguments being filed, the orders are being deferred. Therefore, without expressing any opinion as to the merit of the case of either of the parties, we dispose of this petition with a request to the State Commission, the Respondent No. 1 herein, to endeavor to decide the matter expeditiously.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will cooperate in the matter before the Commission.

Sd/-
(JAYANT BANERJI)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(N.V.BHAVANI)
JUDGE

KGR
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 9