HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60468
RP No. 474 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010594522024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

REVIEW PETITION NO. 474 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

CANARA BANK
A BODY CORPORATE CONSTITUTED UNDER AND GOVERNED BY PROVISIONS OF BANKING COMPANIES (ACQUISITION AND TRANSFER OF UNDERTAKINGS) ACT, 1970,
HAVING ITS HEAD OFFICE AT 112, J C ROAD, BENGALURU-560002
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF MANAGER
MR. SREEKANTH M

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. T P MUTHANNA., ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by SUMA B N
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. SMT R P SHANTHALAXMI
   W/O R A PUTTARAJU
   C/O R.P.ANANTHAPPA AND CO.,
   SORAB ROAD, SAGAR -577 401

   SINCE DEAD REPRESENTED BY
   LEGALREPRESENTATIVES

   R.P.MADHUSUDHAN
   S/O R.A.PUTTARAJ
   AGED ABOUT 79 YEARS
   NOW AT VITTALA TEMPLE ROAD
   ANU LADIES NEEDS

SAGAR-577401
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT.

2. KARVY CONSULTANTS LTD
6-2-68/2, SAIFABAD
HYDERABAD -500004

3. KARVY CONSULTANTS LTD.,
NO.145, GIRIJA TOWERS
6TH A C MAIN, 30TH CROSS, 4TH BLOCK,
JAYANAGAR
BENGALURU -560 011

4. KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK
72, ST MARKS ROAD
BENGALURU-560 001
REP. BY COMPANY SECRETARY

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. R GOPAL., ADVOCATE FOR R1
R2 SERVED THROUGH PAPER PUBLICATION
V/O DATED 27.08.2026, NOTICE TO R3 & R4 IS H/S))

THIS REVIEW PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 114 R/W ORDER 47 RULE 1 OF CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE R/W RULE 39 OF WRIT PROCEEDING RULES PRAYING THAT THIS HONBLE COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO A. CALL FOR THE ENTIRE RECORDS LEADING TO PASSING OF THE ORDER DATED 28.11.2023 IN RFA NO. 2525/2006 (DEC) C/W RFA NO. 469/2007 (DEC/INJ) AND RFA NO. 1219/2007 PASSED BY THIS HONBLE COURT. B. REVIEW THE ORDER DATED 28.11.2023 IN RFA NO. 2525/2006 (DEC) C/W RFA NO. 469/2007 (DEC/INJ) AND RFA NO. 1219/2007, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE. C. GRANT SUCH OTHER RELIEFS AS THE HON'BLE COURT MAY DEEM FIT, IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL ORDER

Heard Sri. T.P. Muthanna, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri. R. Gopal, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The grounds urged in the petition are more in the nature of grounds of appeal.

3. From the grounds made out by the petitioner in the review petition, this Court is unable to comprehend what is the error apparent on law or on facts.

4. If the respondent-Karvy Consultants Ltd., has made a mistake in not returning the instrument within the prescribed time or sent the Cheque for collection, then it is a matter between the petitioner-Bank and respondent-Karvy Consultants Ltd., for which the contesting respondent No.1 cannot be penalized.

5. More so, when the contesting respondent No.1 has taken the services offered by the erstwhile Syndicate Bank which is now merged with Canara Bank for the persons who are not well versed with operating the shares and stocks.

6. Respondent No.1 being a gullible lady believed that her application is processed properly by the employee of the erstwhile Syndicate Bank (now merged with Canara Bank-Review Petitioner) and then subscribed her signature to all necessary papers.

7. For the mistake of the employee of the erstwhile Syndicate Bank, respondent No.1 should not preserve with the findings recorded by this Court, while passing the final order in the RFA.No.2525/2006 C/w RFA Nos.469/2007 and 1219/2007, which is subject matter of the present Review Petition.

8. Accordingly, this Court does not find any good grounds to review the order dated 28.11.2023. Hence, following:

ORDER

i) Review petition is ***dismissed***.

SD/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

RL/- List No.: 1 Sl No.: 28