HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:21453
RP No. 529 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 21ST DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

REVIEW PETITION NO.529 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

1. UMMAR ISMAIL KHAN
DR MUMTAZ AHMED KHAN,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
R/AT NO 114, 17TH MAIN,
2ND CROSS, 5TH BLOCK,
KORAMANGALA
BENGALURU - 560 095.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RASHEED KHAN, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. VARALAKSHMAMMA
D/O VENKATARAMAIAH
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS.

2. KAMALAMMA
W/O. LATE ASHWATH,
AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS

3. VANITHA
D/O. LATE ASWATH NARAYAN,
AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS

4. UMESH
S/O. LATE ASWATH NARAYAN,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS

5. RUKMINI
D/O. LATE ASWATH NARAYAN,
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS

6. GIRIJA
D/O. LATE ASWATH NARAYAN,
AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS.

RESPONDENTS NO.1 TO 6 ARE
R/AT YALACHIPALYA VILLAGE,
KASBA HOBLI, CHANAPATNA TALUK,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT-562 160.

7. PUTTATHAYAMMA
W/O. CHANNEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 66 YEARS,

8. MURALIDHARA
S/O. CHANNEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS,

9. NAGARATHNAMMA
D/O. CHANNEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,

RESPONDENTS NO.7 TO 10 ARE
R/AT HONGANURU VILLAGE,

KASABA HOBLI,
CHANAPATNA TLAUK,
RAMANAGARA DISTRICT-562 160.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS RP IS FILED UNDER ORDER XLVII RULE 1 OF THE CPC PRAYING TO REVIEW THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 06/09/2022 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN C.R.P.NO.234/2022 IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS ALONG WITH I.A.1/2026, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. This Review Petition is filed assailing the Order of this Court dated 06.09.2022 in Civil Revision Petition No.234/2022. Since there is delay of 722 days in filing the Review Petition, the petitioner has filed I.A.1/2026 seeking condonation of delay.

3. Having taken note of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, I have carefully examined the reasons assigned by the petitioner in I.A.1/2026. Since there is delay of nearly two years in filing the Review Petition and also there are no acceptable grounds forthcoming in the affidavit accompanying the application to condone the delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, I.A.1/2026 is dismissed.

4. Following the declaration of law made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **STATE OF NAGALAND vs. LIPOK AO AND OTHERS** reported in **2005(3) SCC 752,** as there are no sufficient reasons to condone the delay in I.A.1/2026, the Review Petition is dismissed.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

sac
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 15