HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61956
WP No. 25429 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010554052026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

WRIT PETITION NO. 25429 OF 2026 (GM-CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. C N AMBIKAMMA
   WIFE OF PUTTARANGAIAH,
   AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT NAVILAHALLI VILLAGE,
   KASABA HOBLI, TUMKUR TALUK.
   PIN CODE 572101

...PETITIONER

(BY SMT. VASUNDARA T. P., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI NARASIMAMURTHY,
   SON OF LATE KADAIAH,
   AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT VADDARAHALLY VILLAGE,
   BELAGUMBA POST, KASABA HOBLI,
   TUMKUR TALUK. PIN CODE 572101

2. SRI.T.N.SUBRAMANYA
   SON OF LATE T.N.NARASIMHASHASTRI,
   AGED ABOUT 84 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT NO.30,
   KOTE EXTENSION,
   DEVEERAMMANNAI AGRAHARA,
   MYSORE-570004.

3. SRI.T.R.SRIDHAR
   SON OF LATE T.K.RAMASHASTRI,

Digitally signed by VINUTHA B S
Location: High Court of Karnataka

AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS,
RESIDING AT HOUSE NO.2214,
BASAVESHWARA ROAD,
4TH CROSS, MYSORE-570004

…RESPONDENTS

(VIDE ORDER DATED 05.11.2026
NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS IS DISPENSED WITH)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECTING THE TRIAL COURT TO DISPOSE OF THE SUIT IN O.S.NO.100/2014 ON PENDING OF LEARNED PRINCIPAL CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C., TUMKURU, WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME TO AVOID UNREASONABLE ADJOURNMENTS.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. V. ARAVIND

ORAL ORDER

The present petition is filed seeking a limited relief of directing the Trial Court to expedite the disposal of O.S.No.100/2014, pending on the file of the Principal Civil Judge and JMFC, Tumakuru.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the suit is one for specific performance, seeking execution of a registered sale deed upon payment of the balance sale

consideration. It is further submitted that, despite the lapse of 12 years, the suit has not made any substantial progress.

3. Heard the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the impugned order.

4. Having regard to the limited direction proposed to be issued by this Court, issuance of notice to the respondents is unnecessary and is accordingly dispensed with.

5. The suit is one for specific performance. Despite the lapse of 12 years, the suit continues to remain at the stage of the plaintiff's evidence. This Court finds no compelling reason justifying such inordinate delay in the progress of the suit.

6. In that view of the matter, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** with a direction to the Trial Court to expedite the disposal of O.S.No.100/2014 and conclude the proceedings within one year from the next date of hearing before the Trial Court.

Sd/-
(K. V. ARAVIND)
JUDGE

VP/ List No.: 1 Sl No.: 6