HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:55124
WP No. 25524 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010553562025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 25524 OF 2025 (LR)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI K N ANJINEYA REDDY
S/O.LATE NANJAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
R/AT NO.5, NEAR ANJANEYASWAMY TEMPLE,
KUMBENA AGRAHARA,
KADUGODI POST, KADUGODI POST,
BANGALORE-560066.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. VENKATARAMI REDDY E.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE-560001.

2. THE LAND TRIBUNAL,
HOSAKOTE TALUK,
HOSAKOTE-562114.

3. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE URBAN DISTRICT,
KANDHAYA BHAVAN, K.G.ROAD,
BANGALORE-560009.

4. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
BANGALORE NORTH SUB-DIVISION,
KANDHAYA BHAVAN, K.G.ROAD,
BANGALORE-560009.

5. THE TAHASILDAR,
BANGALORE EAST TALUK,
K.R.PURAM,
BANGALORE-560036.

6. SMT.MUNIRATHNAMMA
W/O.LATE. V.KRISHNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 73 YEARS,
R/AT. NO.331, GROUND FLOOR,
LAKE VIEW SIDE, DODDABASAVANAPURA,
BANGALORE-560049.

7. SRI.RAMESH BABU
S/O.LATE. RAMACHANDRAIAH,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS.

8. R KOUSHIK, S/O R RAMESH BABU,
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS.

RES NO.7 & 8 ARE
R/AT. NO. 422, RATHNA MAHAL,
NEAR LAKSHMI SUPER SPECIALTY HOSPITAL,
K.R.PURAM, BANGALORE-560036.

9. SMT.SABITHA, W/O.NARAYAN.K,
AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
R/AT NO.331, GROUND FLOOR,
LAKE VIEW SIDE, DODDABASAVANAPURA,
BANGALORE-560049.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI UDAYA HOLLA, SENIOR COUNSEL FOR SMT.JAMUNA T B, ADVOCATE FOR R7 TO R9,
SMT.SHARADA H V, AGA, FOR R1 TO R5,
SRI JOSE SABASTIAN, ADVOCATE FOR R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 16.09.1981 PASSED BY THE R2/LAND TRIBUNAL HOSAKOPTE TALUK, HOSAKOTE IN LRF (B) KS/33/1979-80 VIDE AT ANNEXURE-A.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN `B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. In this writ petition, the petitioner is assailing order dated 16.09.1981 in LRF:(B)KS/33/1979-80 passed by respondent No.2 - Land Tribunal at Annexure-A.

3. Sri Udaya Holla, learned Senior Counsel appearing for Smt.Jamuna T B, learned counsel appearing for respondents No.7 to 9 submitted that, the impugned order challenged in the present writ petition is passed on 16.09.1981 (Annexure-A) by the respondent No.2-Land Tribunal, Hosakote Taluk, Hosakote, and also refers to the averments made in the writ petition, and submitted that,

the writ petition deserves to be dismissed on the ground of delay and laches.

4. Smt.Sharada H V, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent - State, submitted that, the writ petition is filed challenging the impugned order after forty three years, and therefore, sought for dismissal of the writ petition.

5. In the light of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, and on careful consideration of the impugned order dated 16.09.1981 (Annexure-A), I am of the view that the reason assigned by the petitioner in the writ petition has not been shown sufficient cause for condoning the delay under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963, in challenging the impugned order at Annexure-A after four decades.

6. In that view of the matter, following the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of

Mrinmoy Maity vs Chhanda Koley & others reported in **(2024) 4 SCR 506**, I am of view that, no interference is called for in the writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed on the ground of delay and laches.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

BKM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 62