HC-KAR

CNR: KAHC010518262023

NC: 2026:KHC:62123-DB
WP No. 22484 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.BHARATH KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO. 22484 OF 2023 (GM-POL-PIL)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. SUDHAKAR RAO
   S/O SRI BHEEMA RAO PATIL
   AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
   SOCIAL WORKER,
   KALLUR ROAD,
   CHINCHOLI TALUK,
   KALABURAGI DISTRICT-585 305.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. RAJARAM T., ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by RAKSHA
Location: high court of karnataka

AND:

1. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
   M/S. CHITTINAD CEMENT CORPORATION LTD.,
   NO.693, 4TH FLOOR,
   RANI SEETHAI HALL BUILDING, ANNA SALAI,
   CHENNAI-600006.

2. SRI. DEVESH KUMAR MISHRA
   SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT (WORKS),
   M/S. CHITTINAD CEMENT CORPORATION LTD.,
   KALLUR WORKS, SANGAM (K) VILLAGE,
   GARAGAPALLI POST, CHANDAPUR (SO),
   CHINCHOLI TALUK,

KALABURAGI DISTRICT-585 305,
KARNATAKA.

3. THE TECHNICAL DIRECTOR
M/S. CHITTINAD CEMENT CORPORATION LTD.,
NO.693, 4TH FLOOR,
RANI SEETHAI HALL BUILDING,
ANNA SALAI,
CHENNAI-600 006.

4. THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
THE MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT,
FOREST AND CLIMATE CHANGE,
INDIRA PARYAVARAN BHAVAN,
JORBAGH ROAD, ALI GUNJ,
NEW DELHI-110 003.

5. THE DIRECTORATE OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE
NO.49, 2ND FLOOR, SOUTH BLOCK,
KHANIJA BHAVAN,
RACE COURSE ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 001.

6. THE CHAIRMAN
KARNATAKA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
"PARISARA BHAVANA", 4TH AND 5TH FLOORS,
NO.49, CHURCH STREET,
BANGALORE-560 001.

7. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KALABURGI DIST.
KALABURGI-585 101.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. PRITHVIRAJ B.N., ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R3,
SRI. KHAMROZ KHAN, AGA FOR R7,
SMT. SWAMINI GANESH MOHANAMBAL, CGC FOR R4 & R5,
SRI. A. MAHESH CHOWDHARY, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. KRISHIKA VAISHNAV, ADVOCATE FOR R6.)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO a) ISSUE A WRIT OF DIRECTION TO THE 1ST & 2ND RESPONDENTS TO PRESERVE AND MAINTAIN ENVIRONMENT & ECOLOGY IN AND AROUND RADIUS OF 10 K.M IN AND AROUND THE COMPANY AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.BHARATH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN)

1. This writ petition is filed seeking the following reliefs;

> *"a) Issue a writ of direction to the 1st & 2nd Respondents to preserve and maintain environment & Ecology in and around radius of 10 K.M in and around the company.*
>
> *b) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to furnish details about the*

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities which they have conducted in the vicinity of the factory.

c) Issue writ, order or direction to the Respondents to initiate action against the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to pay proper compensation to the farmers for acquiring the land for expansion purpose.

d) Issue writ, order or direction to the Respondents to initiate action against the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to give proper compensation for the damages which was caused by the blasting which was conducted by the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

e) Issue writ, order or direction to the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to do health camps where dust is accumulated in and around the Villages in the vicinity of the factory.

f) Issue any such other writ, order or direction in the public interest after securing the details pertaining to the same from the Respondents as this Hon'ble Court deems fit in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the ends of justice and equity."

2. We notice from the memorandum of the writ petition that, even the details of the factory against which the

relief is sought in the writ petition is not mentioned in the writ petition.

3. Though, extensive pleadings have been placed on record, the respondents herein are only the Managing Director, the Senior Vice President and the Technical Director of Chittinad Cement Corporation located in Chennai and the details of the factory against which relief is sought is not available in the writ petition. Moreover, the prayers sought for are too vague and do not contain the details of any of the persons who have suffered damages on account of alleged actions or the functioning of the factory.

4. In the above circumstances, we are of the opinion that the writ petition filed with sketchy details cannot be entertained by this Court. The writ petition is accordingly ***closed*** with liberty to the petitioner, if so advised, to file a fresh writ petition with proper parties on record and

showing the proper details of the factory against which he seeks action.

Sd/-
(ANU SIVARAMAN)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(K.S.BHARATH KUMAR)
JUDGE

GH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 4