HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:59372
WP No. 22378 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010492382026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 22378 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI PANDUGOWDA V H
S/O LATE HIRIGEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
R/AT VITALAPURA VILLAGE,
BOOKANAKERE HOBLI,
KRISHNARAJAPETE TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 426.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI ANDANAPPA GURAPPA BALLOLLI, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
M.S. BUILDING,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 560 001.

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MANDYA DISTRICT,
MANDYA-571 401.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
PANDAVAPURA SUB-DIVISION,
PANDAVAPURA,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 434.

4. THE TAHSILDAR,
KRISHNARAJAPETE TALUK,
KRISHNARAJAPETE,
MANDYA DISTRICT-571 426.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION, DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS, MORE PARTICULARLY THE 4TH RESPONDENT, TO CONSIDER AND DISPOSE OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 22.09.2025 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER VIDE ANNEXURE-K, ALONG WITH ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THE APPLICATION FILED IN FORM NO. 57 DATED 19.09.1991 VIDE ANNEXURE-C, IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW, BY PASSING APPROPRIATE ORDERS WITHIN A TIME FRAME TO BE FIXED BY THIS HONBLE COURT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondents.

2. In this writ petition, petitioner has sought for a direction to the respondent - Authorities to consider the

representation dated 22.09.2025 (Annexure-K) submitted by the petitioner herein in respect of the subject land.

3. Learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondent - State, submitted that the respondent - Authorities have considered the representation made by the petitioner and have rejected the same by an endorsement dated 26.11.2025, and a copy of the same is produced by the learned Additional Government Advocate.

4. In that view of the matter, it is open for the petitioner to challenge the same, if so advised and accordingly, I am of view that, no interference is called for in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

BKM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 28