HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:65360
WP No. 22292 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010487012025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

WRIT PETITION NO. 22292 OF 2025 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. CHANNA NAIK
S/O LATE PURUYA NAIK,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
R/O N. BASAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
CHANNAGIRI TALUK,
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 213.

2. JAYABAI,
W/O CHANNA NAIK,
AGED ABOUT 59 YEARS,
R/O N. BASAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
CHANNAGIRI TQ,
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 213.

3. SMT. GIRIJA BAI
W/O CHANNA NAIK,
AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
R/O N. BASAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
CHANNAGIRI TALUK,
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 213.

4. RAVI NAIK,
CHANNA NAIK,
AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS,
R/O N. BASAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,

Digitally signed by R MANJUNATHA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

CHANNAGIRI TQ,
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 213.

5. SMT. MAMATHA
W/O ANANDA,
AGED ABOUT 34 YEARS,
R/O N. BASAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
CHANNAGIRI TALUK,
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 213.

6. ANANDA H
S/O HALYA NAIK,
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS,
R/O N. BASAVANAHALLI VILLAGE,
SHIKARIPUR TALUK,
SHIVAMOGGA - 577 424.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. HARSHA G, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY CHANNAGIRI POLICE STATION
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 213.
REP. BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT BUILDING, BANGALORE - 560 001.

2. SMT. YOSHODA
W/O MAHANTHESH NAIK
AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS
SEVANAGARA VILLAGE, CHANNAGIRI TALUK,
DAVANAGERE DISTRICT - 577 213.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. K.P YASHODHA, AGA FOR R1;
SRI. M. SANDESH KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA R/W SEC. 482 OF THE CR.PC PRAYING TO QUASH THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS IN CC. NO. 1234 OF 2025 IN SO FAR AS PETITIONERS ARE CONCERNED, REGISTERED FOR THE OFFENCES UNDER SECTIONS 498A, 323, AND 504 R/W SECTION 149 OF THE INDIAN PENAL CODE, 1860, AND SECTIONS 3 AND 4 OF THE DOWRY PROHIBITION ACT, 1961, ON THE FILE OF THE I ADDITIONAL CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, CHANNAGIRI AS PER ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL ORDER

1. Parties are present except petitioner Nos.3, 5 and 6. They present a joint memo signed by the parties and their respective Advocates.

2. On enquiry, parties agree that contents of the joint memo depict true terms of settlement. Further, they admit that there is no force, undue influence or coercion in reaching out the terms of joint memo.

3. Noting the nature of the offence, it is found that *lis* is privy to the parties and did not have serious impact on the society at large.

4. Therefore, in view of the true settlement between the parties, following the dictum of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Ramgopal and another v. State of Madhya Pradesh*** reported in ***(2022) 14 SCC 531***, pending proceedings needs to be quashed.

5. Hence, the following:

ORDER

i. Placing the joint memo on record, petition stands ***allowed***.

ii. Pending proceedings in CC No.1234/2025 on the file of I Additional Civil Judge and JMFC, Channagiri is hereby quashed.

Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

KAV
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 57