HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:59803
WP No. 20727 of 2022

CNR: KAHC010476552022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI M

WRIT PETITION NO. 20727 OF 2022 (SC/ST)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. NIJALINGAPPA,
S/O. BASAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
SINCE DEAD REPRESENTED BY LRS

1(A) NINGAMMA,
W/O. LATE NIJALINGAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,

1(B) MAHESH,
S/O. LATE NIJALINGAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS,

1(C) KANCHESH,
S/O. LATE NIJALINGAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS,

Digitally signed by
PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

PETITIONERS NO.1(A) TO 1(C) ARE
R/AT HULIGUNTE VILLAGE,
PARASHURAMPURA HOBLI,
CHALLAKERE TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577522.

(AMENDED CAUSE TITLE AS PER COURT
ORDER DATED 02.06.2025)

2. SRI. MAHANTESH,
S/O. BASAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS,
R/AT HULIGUNTE VILLAGE,

PARASHURAMPURA HOBLI,
CHALLAKERE TALUK,
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577522.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SIDDAPPA B.M., ADVOCATE - ABSENT)

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
   CHITRADURGA DISTRICT,
   CHITRADURGA - 577501.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
   CHITRADURGA SUB DIVISION,
   CHITRADURGA - 577501.

3. SRI. BORANNA
   S/O OBANNA
   AGE MAJOR
   R/AT HULIKUNTE,
   CHALLAKERE TALUK,
   CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577522.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. SAVITHRAMMA, AGA FOR R1 AND R2;
SRI. B.O.CHANDRASHEKAR, ADVOCATE FOR R3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Though matter called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

Smt.Savithramma, Additional Government Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2. and Sri.B.O.Chandrashekar, counsel for respondent No.3 appeared in person.

The petition was listed on 27.10.2026. On that day also, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Hence, it was ordered to be listed today i.e., 28.10.2026 making it clear that, in the event of non-representation on behalf of the petitioners, the petition would be dismissed for non-prosecution.

As noted hereinabove, when the matter is called today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. It appears, the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution.

Because of dismissal of the Writ Petition, interim order granted if any stands discharged and pending interlocutory applications if any are disposed of.

Sd/-
(JYOTI M)
JUDGE

SS
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 29