HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61676
WP No. 21265 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010466222025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 05TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

WRIT PETITION No.21265 OF 2025 (GM-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. MR. ANKIT KUMAR
   S/O LATE PRAMOD KUMAR SINGH,
   AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,

2. MR. AKSHAY RATHOR,
   S/O LATE PRAMOD KUMAR SINGH,
   AGED ABOUT 31 YEARS,

   BOTH PETITIONER NOS.1 AND 2
   RESIDING AT NO.E6/003,
   SNN RAJ, GREENBAY,
   ELECTRONIC CITY, PHASE-2B,
   BENGALURU-560 100

3. MR. KUNJ BIHARI YADAV,
   S/O KAPILDEO YADAV,
   AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
   241, 3RD CROSS, 1ST BLOCK,
   JAKKASANDRA EXTENSION,
   KORAMANGALA,
   BANGALORE -560034

Digitally signed by R MANJUNATHA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. AKASH B SHETTY ALONGWITH

SRI PAVAN KUMAR, ADVOCATES)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
   BY SOUTH EAST WOMEN POLICE STATION,

REP. BY THE STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
DR. B.R AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BANGALORE-560 001

2. SMT. PRIYADARSHINI SINGH
D/O RAMANUJ SINGH
AGED 36 YEARS,
R/AT B2, 23,
TUNGA BHADRA BLOCK,
NATIONAL GAMES VILLAGE,
6TH BLOCK, KOROMANGALA
BENGALURU -560 022

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT.K.P.YASHODHA, ADDITIONAL GOVERNMENT ADVOCATE FOR R1;

SRI K.LAKSHMINARAYAN, ADVOCATE FOR R2)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA R/W SECTION 482 CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE IS FILED PRAYING TO QUASH THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 DATED 18.03.2025, BEFORE THE SOUTH EAST WOMEN POLICE STATION FOR THE ALLEGE OFFENCE PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTION 85 OF THE BHARATIYA NYAYA SANHITHA (BNS), 2023, VIDE ANNEXURE-A AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V SRISHANANDA

ORAL ORDER

Sri K.Lakshminarayana, learned counsel files vakalath for respondent No.2.

2. Petitioners and respondent No.2 present.

3. They file a joint application under Section 359(1) (2) and (3) r/w Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 along with a joint compromise affidavit.

4. On enquiry, parties agree that the contents of the affidavit are true and correct and there is no force or undue influence in arriving at a compromise among the parties.

5. Taking note that the settlement among the parties is voluntary and did not have any serious impact on the Society at large, placing the joint compromise affidavit on record, the pending criminal proceedings can be quashed.

6. View of this Court is supported by the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Ramgopal and another vs. State of Madhya Pradesh*** reported in ***(2022)14 SCC 531***.

7. Accordingly, the following:

ORDER

i. Placing the joint compromise affidavit on record, petition stands ***allowed***.

ii. Consequently, case in Crime No.0016/2025 dated 18.03.2025 registered by South East Women Police Station, Madivala Sub Division, Bengaluru City, stands *quashed*.

Sd/-
(V SRISHANANDA)
JUDGE

kcm
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 1
CT:VRR