HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62159
CRP No. 506 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010455062025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO. 506 OF 2025 (IO)

BETWEEN:

SRI K.S.SOMASHEKAR RAJU,
S/O LATE K.L.SRINIVAS RAJU AND
SMT LATE YASHODHAMMA,
AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,
R/AT NO 737/(262), 6TH CROSS
KRISHNA RIVER ROAD, SRINAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 050

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. H.MANJUNATH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SMT. NEELAVATHAMMA
D/O LATE K.L. SRINIVAS RAJU AND
SMT. LATE YASHODHAMMA
AGED ABOUT 80 YEARS,
R/AT NO 15/1047, 9TH MAIN,
11TH CROSS, GOKULAM 3 STAGE
MYSORE-570 002

2. SMT MAHLAKSHMI @ LAKSHMIDEVI
D/O LATE K.L. SRINIVAS RAJU AND
SMT. LATE YASHODHAMMA,
AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS

3. SMT SUDHA. B.S.
D/O LATE K.L. SRINIVAS RAJU AND
SMT. LATE YASHODHAMMA
AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS,

SL.NO.2 & 3 ARE R/AT

Digitally signed by SUVARNA T
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

NO.30A, 14TH CROSS
MAHALAKSHMI LAYOUT,
BENGALURU-560 086

4. SMT. CHANDRAPRABHA DEVI,
D/O LATE K.L.SRINIVAS RAJU, AND
LATE YASHODHAMMA,
W/O SRI G. RAJU,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
R/AT NO 16-A, NAACHIMUTHU PURAM,
GANDHINAGAR, GANGAPATHI POST,
COIMBATORE - 641 603

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. NARASIMHA MURTHY K., ADVOCATE FOR R1 TO R3
SRI.N.MANOHAR, ADVOCATE FOR R4)

THIS CRP FILED UNDER SECTION 115 OF CPC, AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 24.03.2025 PASSED ON I.A.NO.2 IN OS.NO.1253/2023 ON THE FILE OF THE XI ADDITIONAL CITY CIVIL AND SESSIONS JUDGE, BENGALURU CITY, DISMISSING THE I.A.NO.2 FILED UNDER ORDER VII RULE 11(a) AND (d) OF CPC, FOR REJECTION OF PLAINT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

ORAL ORDER

A memo is filed seeking permission to withdraw the Civil Revision Petition, stating that the matter is settled between the plaintiffs and defendant No.1. Hence, the petitioner/defendant No.1 seeks to withdraw the petition.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4/ defendant No.2 submits that there is no such compromise and, in fact, has filed an application to transpose himself as the plaintiff.

3. Be that as it may, if the petitioner who has come before this Court wants to withdraw the petition in view of the settlement, whatever the consequences may be, both the parties are at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

4. The memo is taken on record. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed as withdrawn***.

i. All I.As. in this petition shall stand closed.

SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE

MEG, List No.: 1 Sl No.: 2