NC: 2026:KHC:12099
WP No. 19882 of 2025

HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

WRIT PETITION NO.19882 OF 2025 (KLR-REG)

BETWEEN:

1. M CHANDRAPPA
SON OF LATE MARIYAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
OCC. AGRICULTURIST

RESIDENT OF SEETHARAMPURA
VILLAGE, BHADRAVATHI TALUK
SHIMOGA DISTRICT - 577 201.

2. GANGADHARA
SON OF KRISHNAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS
OCC. AGRICULTURE

RESIDENT OF SEETHARAMPURA
VILLAGE, BHADRAVATHI TALUK
SHIMOGA DISTRICT - 577 201.

Digitally signed by SHARADAVANI B
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

3. SHIVAKUMAR
SON OF RAJANNA N,
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
OCC. AGRICULTURE

RESIDENT OF SEETHARAMPURA
VILLAGE, BHADRAVATHI TALUK
SHIMOGA DISTRICT - 577 201.

4. SMT. PARVATHAMMA
DAUGHTER OF SHIVRUDRAPPA,

AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
OCC: AGRICULTURE

RESIDENT OF SEETHARAMPURA
VILLAGE, BHADRAVATHI TALUK
SHIMOGA DISTRICT - 577 201.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. HIREMATHAD MAHESHIAH RUDRAYYA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY,DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE,
M.S.BUILDING, DR.AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BANGALORE - 560 001.

2. THE MEMBER SECRETARY,
TALLUKA UNAUTHORISED
CULTIVATION,REGULARISATION
COMMITTEE,BAHDRAVATHI TALUK
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT - 577 201.

3. THE TAHASILDAR
BHADRAVATHI TALLUKI
- TALLUK,BHADRAVATHI,
SHIVAMOGGA DISTRICT - 577 201.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K P YOGANNA, AGA)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE APPLICATIONS/ REPRESENTATIONS FILED BY THE PETITIONERS AND REGULARIZE THEIR RESPECTIVE LANDS UNDER UNAUTHORISED CULTIVATION AT ANNEXURE-C-1 TO C-3 AND ANNEXURE-D AS PER THE REQUESTS OF THE PETITIONERS

AND PASS SUCH OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTIONS WHICH THIS HON'BLE COURT DEEMS FIT IN THE FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R DEVDAS

ORAL ORDER

On 12.02.2026, the following order was passed:

> *"Finally, two weeks time is granted to the petitioner for compliance of office objections, failing which, list this matter on 26.02.2026 for dismissal."*

2. Matter is called out. There is no representation for the petitioner.

3. Office objections have not been complied with.

4. Consequently, the writ petition stands ***dismissed for non-compliance of office objections.***

Sd/-
(R DEVDAS)
JUDGE

SSD
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 11