HC-KAR
CNR: KAHC010422042023

NC: 2026:KHC:62446-DB
CCC No. 810 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 12TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.SHANTHI BHUSHAN

CIVIL CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 810 OF 2023

BETWEEN:

SMT.R. SUJATHA
W/O LATE KRISNAPPA
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO 1473,
2ND MAIN ROAD,
MANORAYANAPALYA,
R.T. NAGAR POST,
BENGALURU - 560032

...COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. VASANTH KUMAR.B.G FOR
SRI. ANJANEYA., ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by VASANTHA KUMARY B K
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

SMT. LAKSHMIKANTHAMMA.K.S
W/O LAKSHMIPATHI,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO. 1473,
2ND MAIN ROAD,
MANORAYANA PALYA,
R.T. NAGR POST,
BENGALURU - 560 032.

...ACCUSED

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT READ WITH ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, BY THE COMPLAINANT, WHERE

IN SHE PRAYS THAT THE HON'BLE COURT BE PLEASED TO INITIATE CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ACCUSED FOR NON COMPLIANCE OF ORDER DATED 23.02.2023 IN W.P.NO.21522/2022 VIDE ANNEXURE-A1.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.SHANTHI BHUSHAN

ORAL ORDER

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D K SINGH)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience and non-compliance of the order dated 23.02.2023 passed by the Writ Court in W.P. No.21522/2022. The said writ petition was filed seeking to set aside the order dated 16.09.2022, whereby the application filed by the petitioner/defendant under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking deletion of Exhibit P16, came to be dismissed by the Court of Small Causes, Bengaluru.

2. While disposing of the said writ petition, the Writ Court made the following observations in paragraphs 7 and 8 of its order dated 23.02.2023:

"7. Needless to state that the Court will have to pass orders as regards the objections raised for marking of Ex.P.16 and the observations made in the impugned order is also to be taken note of at the time of arguments.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that applications have been filed to recall defendant and to lead further evidence and application is also filed seeking production of documents. Needless to state that pending applications have to be considered and orders to be passed. The stage of the case may have to be re-opened considering the observations made herein. The trial Court to also dispose off the suit expeditiously."

3. There is no definite direction to the respondent/contemnor which can be complained of non-compliance by the contemnor. We find no contempt in the present petition. We, therefore, dismiss the contempt as misconceived.

Sd/-
(D K SINGH)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(H.SHANTHI BHUSHAN)
JUDGE

RKA
CT:SN
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 6