HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61588
WP No. 18875 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010416122026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 18875 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT MEENAKSHI C M
   D/O. LATE C.G. MUTHANNA,
   AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS,
   RESIDING AT NO. 145, R.K. GARDEN,
   3RD CROSS, RMV 2ND STAGE,
   BEHIND GOWRI APARTMENT, BEL ROAD,
   BENGALURU 560 054.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. H. SUBRAMHANYA., SR. ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. RAGHAVENDRA C., ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
   BENGALURU SUB-DIVISION,
   KANDAYA BHAVANA,
   BENGALURU - 560 001.
2. THE TAHSILDAR,
   BANGALORE SOUTH TALUK,
   KANDAYA BHAVANA,
   BENGALURU - 560 001.
3. SRI. K. MUNIRAJU,
   AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,

S/O. LATE M. KRISHNAPPA,
R/O. DOOR NO.4121/P1,
KODIHALLI VILLAGE,
OLD AIRPORT ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 048.

4. SRI. G.A. MANOHAR,
AGED 56 YEARS,
S/O. G.N. ASHWATHA REDDY,
R/O. DOOR NO.4121/P1,
KODIHALLI VILLAGE,
OLD AIRPORT ROAD,
BENGALURU - 560 048.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. ADITYA DIWAKARA. AGA FOR R1 & R2)

(BY SRI. PURNACHANDRA M PURANIK., ADV FOR R3 AND R4)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO CALL FOR THE ENTIRE RECORDS RELATING TO, CONNECTED WITH AND CONCERNING THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 08.11.2021 VIDE ANNEXURE-F BEARING NO. R.A. (S) 131/2021 FROM THE 1ST RESPONDENT, PERUSE THE SAME AND DECLARE THE SAID ORDER, AND THE PROCEEDINGS CULMINATING IN THE SAME, AS GROSSLY VIOLATIVE OF THE PRINCIPLES OF NATURAL JUSTICE AND AS TAINTED BY THE FRAUD PLAYED BY THE RESPONDENTS 3 AND 4 ON THE PETITIONER, AS ALSO ON THE 1ST RESPONDENT, BESIDES THE SAME BEING ABINITIO VOID AND DEVOID OF THE AUTHORITY OF LAW AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. In this writ, the petitioner is assailing the order dated 08.11.2021 (Annexure-'F') passed by respondent No.1.

3. Sri.H.Subramanya, learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that there is a violation of the principles of natural justice and the finding recorded by respondent No.1 that notice has been served on the petitioner herein is incorrect. Accordingly, sought for interference of this Court.

4. However, taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is having an alternative and efficacious remedy under Section 136(3) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964, this Court is of the view that no interference is called for in the present writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***disposed of***, reserving liberty to the petitioner to avail the aforesaid

remedy and urge all grounds available under law, no interference is called for in this petition.

5. All contentions of the parties are kept open.

6. In the event, the petitioner files an appeal within a period of four weeks from today, the period spent by the petitioner before this Court shall be condoned in terms of Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963.

SD/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

VMB
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 18