HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61265
WP NO.19555 OF 2024

CNR: KAHC010400092024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 04TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO.19555 OF 2024 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

SMT. KOMALA RAJU
W/O K.H. RAJU,
AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS,
R/AT NO.114, V.H. ROAD,
NEAR MINI VINDHANA SOHDHA,
KRISHNARAJPET,
MANDYA DISTRICT – 571 426.

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. A. ASHOK KUMAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
   REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,
   REVENUE DEPARTMENT
   M.S. BUILDING,
   DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR ROAD,
   BENGALURU – 560 001.

2. THE TAHSILDAR
   K.R. PET TALUK,
   K.R. PET,
   MANDYA DISTRICT – 571 426.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
   PANDAVAPURA SUB-DIVISION,
   PANDAVAPURA – 571 434.

4. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
   MANDYA DISTRICT,
   MANDYA – 571 401.

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

5. SRI. MAHESH
S/O NAGEGOWDA,
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,
R/AT HAKKIMANCHANAHALLI,
KASABA HOBLI, K.R. PET TALUK,
MANDYA DISTRICT – 571 401.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. ADITYA DIWAKARA, AGA FOR R1 TO R4;
R5 - SERVED BUT UNREPRESENTED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO QUASH THE ORDER DATED 24TH NOVEMBER, 2015 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.4 IN L.N.D.(2)30:2015-16 VIDE ANNEXURE-N; QUASH THE ORDER DATED 05TH MARCH, 2016 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.3 IN CASE NO.RA:4/2015-16 VIDE ANNEXURE-L; AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING IN 'B' GROUP, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

In this petition, the petitioner is challenging the order dated 24th November, 2015 (Annexure-N) passed by the respondent No.4 (Annexure-N) and order dated 05th March, 2016 (Annexure-L) passed by the respondent No.3 in Case No.RA:04/2015-16.

2. Heard Sri. A. Ashok Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri. Aditya Diwakara, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for respondents 1 to 4.

3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties, on perusal of the impugned order dated 05th March, 2016 (Annexure-L) passed by the respondent No.3 in Case No.RA:04/2015-16, it is forthcoming that the said order is passed under Section 49 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act and same is an appealable order under Section 50 of the said Act. Therefore, I am of the view that the present petition is not maintainable.

4. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks leave of the Court to prefer an appeal before the competent authority.

5. Therefore, reserving the liberty to the petitioner to approach the competent authority under Section 50 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, writ petition is ***disposed of.***

6. It is needles to state that, if the petitioner prefers an appeal before the competent authority within four weeks, the petitioner is entitled for condonation of delay in filing the appeal before the competent authority in terms of Section 14 of the Limitation Act.

SD/-
(E.S. INDIRESH)
JUDGE

ARK
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 49