HC-KAR
NC: 2026:KHC:64338
CRL.P No. 7747 of 2022
CNR: KAHC010368352022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 19TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

CRIMINAL PETITION NO.7747 OF 2022

BETWEEN:

1. ROUSHAN THAYAB
   S/O ZAHOOR AHAMAD
   AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,

2. HUSNA ARA
   W/O ZAHOOR AHAMAD
   AGED ABOUT 61 YEARS

3. ZAHOOR AHAMAD
   S/O LATE KHASIM SAB
   AGED ABOUT 67 YEARS,

4. KIRAN FATHIMA
   W/O ROUSHAN THAYYEB
   AGED ABOUT 33 YEARS,

   ALL PETITIONERS ARE
   R/O VISHWANATHA NAGAR
   GADDEHALLA, HASSAN CITY-573201

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. GIRISH B. BALADARE, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. ASMABANU
   W/O ROOMAN THAYYEB
   AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
   R/AT LAKYA VILLAGE

Digitally signed by DEVIKA M
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

CHIKKAMAGALURU TALUK
AND DISTRICT-577101.

2. STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY PSI, CHIKKAMAGALURU RURAL P.S.
CHIKKAMAGALURU
CHIKKAMAGALURU DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY STATE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT BUILDINGS
BANGALORE-560001

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. JAYAKIRTHI M.C., ADVOCATE FOR R1;
SRI. SUHAS GOWDA M., HCGP FOR R2)

THIS CRIMINAL PETITION IS FILED UNDER SECTION 482 OF CR.P.C PRAYING TO QUASH THE CHARGE SHEET REGISTERED BY PSI CHIKKAMAGALURU RURAL P.S., AT CHIKKAMAGALURU IN CR.NO.22/2020 FOR THE OFFENCE PUNISHABLE UNDER SECTIONS 504, 506, 323, 498A, 494 R/W 34 OF IPC AND U/S 3 AND 4 OF THE D.P ACT AS PER ANNEXURE-A AND THE SAME IS PENDING BEFORE 1ST ADDL.SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C AT CHIKKAMAGALURU IN C.C.NO.685/2022.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ADMISSION THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.P.SANDESH

ORAL ORDER

The parties and their respective counsels are present before the Court and the parties have amicably settled the issue between them and issue between the parties are with regard to marital discord.

3. Now, the parties have compromised the matter and learned counsels appearing for both the parties would submit that in view of settlement arrived between the parties, complainant and her husband are living together.

4. In support of the application filed under Section 320 (2) read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C., the parties have also filed a separate verifying affidavit concurring the contents of the application filed under Section 320 (2) read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C. Having taken note of the settlement arrived between the parties and dispute is also between the husband, wife and in-laws and in view of settlement, the complainant and her husband are residing together.

5. The Apex Court in the judgment in **GIAN SINGH vs. STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER** reported in **(2012) 10 SCC 303** had held that, if the offences are not against the Society at large and if it is inter se between the parties, the same can be compounded.

6. Hence, the application filed under Section 320 (2) read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C. is allowed and offences

invoked against the petitioners for the offence under Sections 504, 506, 323, 498A, 494 read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 is compounded.

7. Accordingly, the criminal petition is ***allowed***. As a result, the criminal prosecution initiated against the petitioners herein for the offence under Sections 504, 506, 323, 498A, 494 read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 is hereby quashed.

Sd/-
(H.P.SANDESH)
JUDGE

ST
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 28