HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:62144
WP No. 16938 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010364412026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 11TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 16938 OF 2026 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. SARSWATHAMMA
   W/O LATE VENKATASWAMY,
   AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS.

2. MR. NAGESH N. V.
   S/O LATE VENKATASWAMY,
   AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS.

3. MR. SHIVASHANKAR N. V.
   S/O LATE VENKATASWAMY,
   AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS,
   ALL RESIDING AT
   NAGONDANAHALLI VILLAGE,
   WHITEFIELD POST, K.R. PURAM HOBLI,
   BENGALURU EAST TALUK,
   BENGALURU 560066.

Digitally signed by CHAYA S A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI P B RAJU.,ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE SPECIAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
   BENGALURU DISTRICT,
   BENGALURU.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
BENGALURU NORTH SUB-DIVISION,
BENGALURU.

3. THE TAHSILDAR
BENGALURU EAST TALUK,
BENGALURU.

4. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR
WHITEFIELD CIRCLE,
K.R. PURAM HOBLI, BENGALURU.

5. THE VILLAGE ACCOUNTANT
HAGADUR VILLAGE,
K.R. PURAM HOBLI, BENGALURU.

6. SMT. KAMALAMMA
W/O LATE RAMACHANDRAPPA
AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
R/AT CHANNASANDRA VILLAGE,
KADUGODI POST,
BENGALURU - 560067.

7. MR. LOKESH
S/O LATE KONDAPPA
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS,
R/AT NAGONDANAHALLI VILLAGE,
WHITEFIELD POST,
BENGALURU - 560066.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI SHAMANTH NAIK, AGA FOR R1 TO R6)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI QUASHING THE ORDER PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO.1/SPECIAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER DISMISSING THE REVISION PETITION UNDER SECTION 136(3) OF THE KARNATAKA LAND REVENUE ACT IN R P NO. 208/2025 DATED 7/3/2026 AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

ORAL ORDER

Learned Additional Government Advocate accepts notice for respondent Nos.1 to 5.

2. In this writ petition, petitioners are assailing the order dated 07.03.2026 at Annexures -A and A1 in RP No.208/2025 on the file of respondent No.1.

3. Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and on careful consideration of the finding recorded by the respondent - Authorities would indicate that, the mutation has been effected in respect of the subject land in favour of the husband of respondent No.6 herein based on the registered sale deed dated 31.01.1988. It is also forthcoming that, OS No.1623/2018 is pending consideration before the competent Civil Court.

4. In that view of the matter, I am of the view that, there is no perversity in the orders passed by the respondent - Authorities, and it is open for the petitioners to approach the competent Authorities after conclusion of the suit in OS No.1623/2018, if necessary.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
(E.S.INDIRESH)
JUDGE

BKM
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 20