HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60681
MFA No. 4224 of 2025

CNR: KAHC010362162025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

MISCELLANEOUS FIRST APPEAL NO. 4224 OF 2025 (CPC)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. M.N. REVANASIDDAIAH
   AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS,
   R/O MADAPURA VILLAGE,
   KASABA HOBLI, RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

2. ANNAPOORNA
   AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS.

3. KARTHIK
   AGED ABOUT 26 YEARS

4. GURUPRASAD
   AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS

   ALL ARE RESIDING IN
   R/O MADAPURA VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI
   RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI. SAGAR B.B, ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by VINUTHA M
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. SMT. GANGAMMA
   W/O PARMASHIVAIAH
   AGED ABOUT 77 YEARS
   R/O MADAPURA VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI
   RAMANAGARA - 562 159
   SINCE DECEASED BY HER LR'S

1(A). BASAVRAJU,
   S/O LATE PARAMASHIVAIAHA,

AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,

1(B). SADANANDHA,
S/O LATE PARAMASHIVAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS

1(C). SMT. GOWRAMMA,
D/O LATE PARAMASHIVAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS

1(D). SRI.DODDABASSAPPA,
S/O LATE PARAMASHIVAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS,

1(E) SMT. SHIVAMMA,
D/O LATE PARAMASHIVAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS

1(F). SRI. CHIKKABASAPPA,
S/O LATE PARAMASHIVAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS

1(G). SRI. SHIVAKUMARSWAMY.M.P,
S/O LATE PARAMASHIVAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS

1(A) TO 1(G) ARE RESIDENTS OF
MADAPURA VILLAGE, KASABA HOBLI,
RAMANAGARA TALUK AND DISTRICT.

2. SRI. RAMESH
S/O SRI. PUTAIAH
AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS
KAYALACHA VILLAGE,
KAYALACHA HOBLI
RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

3. SRI. LOKESHA
S/O SRI. PUTAIAH
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS

KAYALACHA VILLAGE, KAYALACHA HOBLI
RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

4. PREMA
S/O SRI. PUTAIAH
AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS
KAYALACHA VILLAGE, KAYALACHA HOBLI
RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

5. AKKAMAHADEVAMMA
W/O. SRI. BASAVARAJU
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS
KATAKANAPURA VILLAGE,
KOOTAGAL HOBLI, RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

6. RAVISHANKAR
S/O. SRI. SHIVANNA
AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS
DODDAGANGAVADI VILLAGE,
KOOTAGAL HOBLI, RAMANAGARA - 562 159.

7. SOUBHAGYA
W/O SHIVANNA S.B
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
RESIDING AT NO.57, 5TH ROAD,
H.V.R LAYOUT, BANGALORE - 560 079.

8. SMT. NANJAMMA,
W/O SRI. PUTTASWAMAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/O KUNAMUDANAHALLI VILLAGE,
KOTGAL HOBLI,
RAMANAGARA TALUK AND DISTRICT.

9. SMT. PREMA,
W/O SRI. GANGADHARAIAHA,
AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS,
R/O NO 6, OPPOSITE TO
COURTESY FOUNDATION,
KEMPEGOWDA LAYOUT,

NAGADEVANAHALLI, BANGALORE - 56.

…RESPONDENTS
(R3 AND R8 ARE SERVED AND UNREPRESENTED)

THIS MFA IS FILED U/O XLIII RULE 1(r) OF THE CPC, AGAINST THE ORDER DT. 22.03.2025 PASSED IN O.S.NO.267/2022 ON THE FILE OF THE PRL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND CJM, RAMANAGARA, ALLOWING THE IA NO.1 FILED U/O.39 RULE 1 AND 2 R/W SEC.151 OF CPC, 1908.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP SINGH YERUR

ORAL JUDGMENT

This appeal filed before this Court is not maintainable in view of Section 19(1) of the Karnataka Civil Courts Act, 1964 and the judgment rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in ***Smt. Narayanamma -vs- The State of Karnataka*** in Writ Petition No.17588 of 2024 (GM-RES) c/w Writ Appeal No.200260 of 2025 (GM-RES) dated 8th July, 2026, which is affirmed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP(c) No.025075/2026 dated 3.8.2026.

2. In view of the above, this Court deems it appropriate to transfer this matter to the concerned

District Court for adjudication of the matter from the stage where it is stopped.

3. Accordingly, I pass the following:

O R D E R

i) The appeal stands ***disposed of*** for statistical purposes;

ii) Registry is directed to transfer the entire record of the case, to the concerned Principal District & Sessions Judge, who may assign it to the concerned Appellate Court having jurisdiction;

iii) In case there are pending applications, the same also be transferred to be considered by the learned Judge of the concerned Appellate Court, in accordance with law;

iv) It is made clear that this Court has not made any observation as to the merits of the case and all rights and contentions of the parties

are left open to be agitated before the concerned Appellate Court;

v) Since the parties are represented before this Court, they shall appear before the learned District Judge on **30.11.2026** without awaiting any further notice.

Sd/-
(PRADEEP SINGH YERUR)
JUDGE

CPN
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 12