HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60117
WP No. 13211 of 2026

CNR: KAHC010299982026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 29TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

WRIT PETITION NO. 13211 OF 2026 (S-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT ANNAMMA
   W/O LATE C. BABU,
   AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,

2. SMT. G. SHWETHA,
   W/O BALAKRISHNA,
   AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS.

   BOTH ARE RESIDING AT NO.49A,
   WARD NO.4, MYSORE BANK ROAD,
   NEAR YELLAMMA TEMPLE, BOVI COLONY.
   ANEKAL TOWN, ANEKAL TALUK,
   BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 106.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SHRIDHARA K., ADVOCATE)

Digitally signed by VIDYA G R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

AND:

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA
   BY ITS SECRETARY
   URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT,
   VIDHANA SOUDHA,
   BANGALORE - 560 001

2. THE PLANNING DIRECTOR
   BENGALURU URBAN DEVELOPMENT CELL,
   BENGALURU URBAN DISTRICT,

D.C. OFFICE, K.G. ROAD,
BANGALORE - 560 009

3. THE CHIEF OFFICER,
TOWN MUNICIPAL COUNCIL (TMC),
ANEKAL TOWN,
ANEKAL - 561 229

4. THE DIRECTOR
DIRECTORATE OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
V.V. TOWER
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI
BENGALURU - 560 001

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. LOKESH K.M., ADVOCATE FOR R3

SMT. ASHWINI PATIL, AGA FOR R1, R2 AND R4)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO-QUASH THE ENDORSEMENT DATED 27.02.2026 AS ANNX-G ISSUED BY THE R3. DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO CONSIDER THE REPRESENTATION DATED 13.11.2025 AS ANNX-D SUBMITTED BY P1 FOR CANDIDATURE OF PETITIONER NO. 2 FOR APPOINTMENT ON COMPASSIONATE GROUNDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAW.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

CNR: KAHC010299982026

ORAL ORDER

1. The present petition has been filed by the wife of the deceased employee, who has sought for appointment under compassionate grounds. The second petitioner is stated to be the adopted daughter. The challenge in the petition is as regards the endorsement at Annexure-G.

2. In terms of Annexure-G, the respondent-authority had rejected the application for compassionate appointment on the ground that the application was not filed within one year as mandated and was filed after five years. Further other ground that was assigned that no documents were produced to evidence the adoption of the second petitioner by the deceased and petitioner No.1.

3. During the pendency of the present proceedings, the petitioner has filed a memo and produced a communication dated 28.09.2026, issued by the Deputy Commissioner to the Director, Municipal Administration.

4. It is submitted that by virtue of such communication the authorities namely the Deputy Commissioner has forwarded for consideration of documents to the Director Municipal Administration.

5. Accordingly, it is asserted that the petition may be disposed of while noticing that the communication of 28.09.2026 would supersede the impugned endorsement at Annexure –G.

6. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that in light of the said communication of the Deputy Commissioner, they would consider the application as and when the same is forwarded to the third respondent.

7. Taking note of such developments and also taking note of the communication of third respondent addressed to the Director of Municipal Administration dated 26.03.2026, it would be appropriate to dispose of the writ petition, reserving liberty to the respondents to reconsider the application for compassionate appointment

in terms of the communications placed on record before this court, as is permissible in law. Such consideration to be completed within a period of six (6) months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

8. All contentions on merits are kept open.

9. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***disposed of***.

Sd/-
(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)
JUDGE

SKS