HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:22892
WP No. 13170 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 25TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

WRIT PETITION NO. 13170 OF 2026 (GM-POLICE)

BETWEEN:

SRI NITIN G KARKIKAR
S/O G A N KARKIKAR,
AGED 50 YEARS,
RESIDING AT NO. 54,
SHRIDEVI ROYAL LAKE,
FRONT RESIDENCY, PHASE III,
RAGHAVAN PALYA,
J P NAGAR, 9TH PHASE,
BANGALORE - 560 062

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. B.N. SURESH BABU, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by CHAITHRA A
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   DEPARTMENT HOME AFFAIRS,
   VIKASA SOUDHA,
   DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
   BENGALURU - 560 001
   REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
   HEBBAGODI,
   ANEKAL SUB DIVISION,
   ANEKAL - 562 106

3. SMT AMARAVATHI
W/O M MUNISWAMY,
AGED MAJOR,
RESIIDNG AT SAMANDUR,
KASABA CIRCLE, KASABA HOBLI,
ANEKAL, BANGALORE URBAN,
BANGALORE - 562 106

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. K.P. YOGANNA, AGA FOR R1 AND R2)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO-QUASHING THE POLICE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE R2 DATED 15.04.2026 BEARING NO. SDPO/AKL/LPETSE/48/2026 PRODUCED AS ANNX-A AND ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

ORAL ORDER

The captioned petition is filed assailing the police notice dated 15.04.2026 bearing No.SDPO/AKL/LPetse/48/2026 as per Annexure-A.

2. Learned Additional Government Advocate, on instructions, submits that pursuant to the impugned notice, a First Information Report has now been registered and the petitioner is arrayed as an accused therein. It is therefore contended that the impugned police notice, which is called in

question in the present writ petition, does not survive for consideration and has, in effect, been rendered infructuous.

3. Recording the aforesaid submission, this Court finds that the grievance projected by the petitioner stems from an alleged misuse of police machinery by respondent No.3 in relation to a dispute arising out of a Joint Development Agreement, which, prima facie, bears the trappings of a commercial dispute. In that view of the matter, once an FIR is registered, the petitioner is relegated to avail such remedies as are available in law, in accordance with the procedure prescribed.

4. In the light of the submission made by the learned Additional Government Advocate that the impugned notice will not be pursued in view of the registration of the FIR, nothing further survives for consideration in the present writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition stands ***disposed of***.

Sd/-
(SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM)
JUDGE

HDK/List No.: 1 Sl No.: 17