HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:63795-DB
WP No. 13307 of 2022

CNR: KAHC010297592022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.BHARATH KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO. 13307 OF 2022 (LB-RES-PIL)

BETWEEN:

1. MR. H.V. RAMDAS
S/O LATE MR. H.G. VENKATASWAMAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS,
RESIDING NEAR OMSREE SCHOOL, SSM LAYOUT,
CHANDANA BUILDING, 1ST FLOOR,
HOSAKOTE TOWN,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 114.

2. SMT. YALLAMMA
W/O MR. H.V. RAMDAS,
AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
RESIDING NEAR OMSREE SCHOOL, SSM LAYOUT,
CHANDANA BUILDING, 1ST FLOOR,
HOSAKOTE TOWN,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 114.

3. MR. S. MANJUNATH,
S/O SRINIVASA MURTHY,
AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
RESIDENT OF PALIKE SUBBAIAH ROAD,

Digitally signed by RAKSHA
Location: high court of karnataka

HOSAKOTE,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 114.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. VAGISH K. ADVOCATE FOR
SMT. LAKSHMAMMA S.B., ADVOCATE FOR P2 & P3,
PETITIONER NO.1 IS DEAD)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
URBAN AND HOUSING DEPARTMENT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR VEEDHI,
BENGALURU - 01.

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING
[HOSAKOTE PLANNING AUTHORITY],
VISHWANATHAPURA,
CHAPPARADAKALLU,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 110.
REPRESENTED BY DEPUTY DIRECTOR.

3. HOSAKOTE PLANNING AUTHORITY [BMRDA]
MISSION HOSPITAL ROAD,
1ST CROSS, SRI. VENKATADRI LAYOUT,
HOSAKOTE - 562 114,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.

4. THE TAHASILDAR
TALUK OFFICE,

HOSAKOTE - 562 114,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT.

5. MR. SRINIVASAMURTHY R.
AGED ABOUT 46 YEARS,
S/O MR. RANGASWAMY,
PARTNER TO M/S. SUPRA INFRASTRUCTURES,
NO.#38/1, SSD PARADISE APARTMENT,
10TH CROSS, 1ST MAIN, KATHRIGUPPE,
BSK 2ND STAGE,
BENGALURU - 560 085.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. PRAMODINI KISHAN, AGA FOR R1 & R4,
SRI. A.V. GANGADHARAPPA, ADVOCATE FOR R3,
R2 - SERVED)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE AN APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION IN THE NATURE OF WRIT OF MANDAMUS DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS-1 TO 4 TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST THE 5TH RESPONDENT BY CONSIDERING THE PETITIONERS REPRESENTATION DATED 01.06.2022 AT ANNEXURE-D; AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN
and
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S.BHARATH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANU SIVARAMAN)

1. This writ petition is filed seeking the following reliefs;

> *"[i] Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondents-1 to 4 to take action against the 5th respondent by considering the petitioners representation dated 01.06.2022 at Annexure-D.*
>
> *[ii) and to grant such other reliefs that this Hon'ble Court deems fit to grant in the fact and circumstances of the above writ petition to meet the ends of justice".*

2. We notice that the only private person made a party to the writ petition is respondent No.5, who has not accepted notice.

3. Heard Sri.Vagish K for Smt.Lakshmamma S.B, learned counsel appearing for petitioner Nos.2 and 3 and Smt.Pramodini Kishan, learned AGA for respondent Nos.1

and 4 and Sri.A.V.Gangadharappa, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.3.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners contends that respondent No.5 is the person who had formed the layout and had obtained the necessary permissions. However, the persons who have purchased the sites in the layout are not made a party to the writ petition.

5. We notice that Annexure-D - representation has been preferred by the petitioners before respondent No.4.

6. In the result:-

(i) This writ petition is ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondent No.4 to look into Annexure-D - representation and take necessary action in accordance with law to address the grievance of the petitioners.

(ii) In case respondent No.4 finds it necessary, notice will have to be issued to the concerned persons who may be affected by any orders which are being passed.

(iii) It is made clear that no adverse order shall be passed without hearing the affected parties.

(iv) Appropriate action shall be taken within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

Sd/-
(ANU SIVARAMAN)
JUDGE

Sd/-
(K.S.BHARATH KUMAR)
JUDGE

GH
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 14