HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:61233
CRL.P No. 5283 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010297262024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 4TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

CRIMINAL PETITION NO.5283 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. DEVARAJA N,
   S/O SALLA NARAYANAPPA,
   AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
   KANNAMPALLI VILLAGE,
   CHINTAMANI TALUK,
   CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
   KARNATAKA-563125.

2. MANJUNATHA,
   S/O SEENAPPA,
   AGED ABOUT 25 YEARS,
   ULRU PAKSHAPURA VILLAGE,
   CHINTAMANI TALUK,
   CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
   KARNATAKA-563125.

Digitally signed by PANKAJA S
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

3. KALAVATHI
   W/O SRI DEVARAJA N
   AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS,
   KANNAMPALLI VILLAGE,
   CHINTAMANI TALUK,
   CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
   KARNATAKA-563125.

4. PAVITHRA V.D.
   D/O SRI. DEVARAJA N,

AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS,
KANNAMPALLI VILLAGE,
CHINTAMANI TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
KARNATAKA-563125.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. SRINIVASA REDDY V., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. STATE BY CHINTAMANI RURAL P.S
CHINTAMANI TOWN
CHIKKABALALPURA DIST-563125
REP BY SPP
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA
BENGALURU-01.

2. DEVARAJA M,
S/O LATE MUNIVENKATAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,
R/AT WARD NO.8,
KANNAMPALLI VILLAGE,
CHINTAMANI TALUK,
CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT
KARNATAKA-563125.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI. JAVEED S., HCGP FOR R-1;
SRI. HARISH K.N., ADVOCATE FOR R-2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 OF CR.PC. PRAYING TO QUASH THE PROCEEDINGS IN CR.NO.267/2022 AND C.C.NO.905/2023 FILED BY CHINTAMANI RURAL P.S. FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 307,323,324,504,506 R/W 34 OF IPC AND SUBMITTED TO THE HON'BLE THE ADDL.CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C CHINTAMANI, CHIKKABALLAPURA DISTRICT.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ADMISSION, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL ORDER

Learned counsel for petitioners and learned counsel for respondent No.2 have filed an application under Section 359 r/w 528 of BNSS, 2023 and jointly submits that parties have amicably settled their dispute with the intervention of well wishers and family members. As such, respondent No.2 is not interested to pursue the complaint lodged by her.

2. The petitioners and respondent No.2 are present before the Court.

3. No doubt the offence alleged against the petitioners are non-compoundable in nature. However, considering the nature of the allegations in the complaint, the same are purely private in nature and the parties have settled their disputed amicably. As such, placing reliance on the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab***, reported in ***(2012) 10 SCC 303***, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of the proceedings would not serve any useful

purpose. Accordingly, the parties are permitted to compound the offence and the proceedings against the petitioners is liable to be quashed. Accordingly, application is ***allowed***.

Consequently, the proceedings against the petitioners-accused Nos.1 to 4 in C.C.No.905/2023 arising out of Crime No.267/2022 dated 15.06.2022, registered by Chintamani Rural Police Station, Chikkaballapura Dist., for the offences punishable under Sections 307, 323, 324, 504, 506 r/w 34 of IPC, pending on the file of the Addl. Civil Judge and JMFC, Chintamani, are hereby quashed.

Accordingly, petition is ***disposed of*** in terms of the joint memo.

SD/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE