HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:60702
CRL.P No. 5157 of 2024

CNR: KAHC010286302024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

CRIMINAL PETITION NO. 5157 OF 2024

BETWEEN:

SRI. PAVAN KUMAR
S/O. RAJU
AGED ABOUT 28 YEARS,
R/AT NO.7, 26TH CROSS,
ANAKALAPPA MUTT,
CUBBONPET,
BANGALORE-2.

…PETITIONER

(BY SMT. NIRMALA PRASHANTH, ADVOCATE)

AND:

Digitally signed by PANKAJA S
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. STATE OF KARNATAKA BY
YELAHANKA NEW TOWN
POLICE STATION,
BANGALORE,
REPRESENTED BY SPP,
HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
AT BANGALORE-560001.

2. SMT. VARALAKSHMI
W/O LATE GANGADHAR,
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS,
R/AT NO. 8/1,
NEAR NAGARJUNA COLLEGE,

RAMAGONDANAHALLI VILLAGE,
YELAHANKA,
BANGALORE-560064.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.MAHESH P., HCGP FOR R-1;
SRI.RAKSHITH R., ADVOCATE FOR R-2)

THIS CRL.P IS FILED U/S.482 CR.P.C PRAYING TO QUASH THE FIR AND COMPLAINT DATED 21.11.2023 INITIATED BY THE RESPONDENT POLICE ON THE COMPLAINT OF 2ND RESPONDENT AGAINST THE ABOVE PETITIONER (ACCUSED NO.4) IN CR.NO.533/2023 FOR THE OFFENCE P/U/S 506, 504, 406, 420, 417 R/W 34 OF IPC, OF YELAHANKA NEW TOWN POLICE, PENDING ON THE FILE OF IV ADDL.C.M.M., BENGALURU.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR FURTHER HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH RAI K

ORAL ORDER

This petition is filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR against the petitioner/accused No.4 in Crime No.533/2023 dated 21.11.2023 registered by Yelahanka New Town Police Station against the petitioner and others for the offences punishable under Sections 506, 504, 406, 420, 417 r/w 34 of IPC, on the file of IV Additional CMM Court, Bengaluru.

2. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a *bonafide* purchaser, having purchased Site No.8, measuring 1,089 sq. ft., situated at Ramagondanahalli, from one Bhaskar, who is arrayed as accused No.1 in the present case. He further submits that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged incident and that the allegations made in the complaint do not attract any offence against the petitioner. Hence, continuation of the proceedings initiated pursuant to the registration of the FIR would amount to an abuse of the process of Court.

3. *Per contra*, learned counsel for respondent No.2 and the learned HCGP jointly submit that the investigation is still at a nascent stage and, therefore, it would be premature to quash the proceedings at this stage. Accordingly, they sought dismissal of the petition.

4. I have perused the FIR and the averments made in the complaint.

5. As rightly contended by learned counsel for respondent No.2 and the learned HCGP, the investigation is still at a

nascent stage. Except for registration of the FIR, no further investigation appears to have been conducted in the matter. Under such circumstances, it would be premature to examine the merits of the contentions urged by the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the petition stands ***disposed of***, reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the Investigating Officer and place on record the sale deed and such other relevant documents in support of his defence, within two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If any such documents are produced, the Investigating Officer shall consider the same in accordance with law before filing the final report.

SD/-
(RAJESH RAI K)
JUDGE

GPG/List No.: 1 Sl No.: 2