HC-KAR

NC: 2026:KHC:22130
WP No. 12514 of 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU**

**DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF APRIL, 2026**

**BEFORE**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV**

**WRIT PETITION NO.12514 OF 2026 (LA-KIADB)**

**BETWEEN:**

SRI RAMACHANDRAPPA,
S/O LATE CHIKKAANJINAPPA,
AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS,
R/AT DODDAGOLLAHALLI VILLAGE,
KUNDANA HOBLI, DEVANAHALLI TALUK,
BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT - 562 110.

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI SHARAN N.MAJAGE, ADVOCATE)

**AND:**

Digitally signed by DEVIKA M
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
   BY ITS SECRETARY,
   DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES,
   VIDHANA SOUDHA,
   BANGALORE-560 001.

2. KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT BOARD,
   REP. BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
   AND EXECUTIVE MEMBER,
   KHANIJA BHAVANA, RACE COURSE ROAD,
   BENGALURU-560 001.

3. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER-2,
   KARNATAKA INDUSTRIAL AREAS DEVELOPMENT BOARD,
   NO.14/3, 1ST FLOOR, CFC BUILDING,
   MAHARSHI ARAVINDA BHAVAN,

NRUPATUNGA ROAD,
BANGALORE-560 001.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI A.S HARISH, AGA FOR R-1;
SRI H L PRADEEP KUMAR, ADVOCATE FOR R-2 & R-3)

THIS W.P. IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO QUASH THE IMPUGNED GENERAL AWARDS BEARING NO KIADB/LAQ/2041/2023-24 DATED 06.06.2023 (ANNEXURE-D), NO. KIADB/LAQ/2042/2023-24 DATED 06.06.2023 (ANNEXURE-E), NO.KIADB/LAQ/2084/2023-24 DATED 06.06.2023 (ANNEXURE-F) AND KIADB/LAQ//2024-25 DATED 29.04.2024 (ANNEXURE-G) PASSED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT IN RESPECT OF THE PETITIONER LANDS BEARING SY. NOS. 98/1, 98/2, 98/4 AND 76 OF DODDAGOLLAHALLI VILLAGE, KUNDANA HOBLI, DEVANAHALLI TALUK ETC.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S SUNIL DUTT YADAV

**ORAL ORDER**

The petitioner has called in question the validity of the general awards at Annexures-D, E, F and G insofar as the properties of the petitioner in land bearing Sy.No.98/1, 98/2, 98/4 and 76 of Doddagollahalli Village, Kundana Hobli, Devanahalli Taluk are concerned.

2. It is further submitted that the Court may issue a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations and pass an award in terms of the agreement as per Section 29(2) of the Karnataka Industrial Areas Development Act, 1966 (for short 'KIAD Act') and award compensation by way of developed land in lieu of cash compensation.

3. The case of the petitioner is that he was willing for passing of a consent award and accordingly, the question of passing of general awards does not arise.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent - Karnataka Industrial Area Development Board (KIADB) submits that the petition itself could be disposed of while setting aside the general awards insofar as the petitioner is concerned and the respondent - Special Land Acquisition Officer, KIADB would consider the request of the petitioner and pass a consent award as per law.

5. The details of general awards are as follows:

a. General Award bearing No.KIADB/LAQ/2041/ 2023-24 dated 06.06.2023 in respect of Sy.No.98/1.

b. General Award bearing No.KIADB/LAQ/2042/ 2023-24 dated 06.06.2023 in respect of Sy.No.98/2.

c. General Award bearing No.KIADB/LAQ/2084/ 2023-24 dated 06.06.2023 in respect of Sy.No.98/4.

d. General Award bearing No.KIADB/LAQ/ /2024-25 dated 29.04.2024 in respect of Sy.No.76.

6. In light of the said stand, taking note of the directions passed in W.P.No.15026/2021, the petition is disposed of as follows:

(i) The impugned general awards as detailed above at Annexures-D, E, F and G insofar as the petitioner's land is concerned, is set aside.

(ii) The respondent No.3 is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner for passing of consent award and pass a consent award in terms of Section 29(2) of the KIAD Act, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(iii) The respondent authorities are at liberty to withdraw the amount if deposited before the civil Court.

(iv) In the even of any dispute, the general award would revive.

7. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-**
**(S SUNIL DUTT YADAV)**
**JUDGE**

MD
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 3