NC: 2026:KHC:23404
WP No. 13627 of 2024

HC-KAR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF APRIL, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K.S. HEMALEKHA

WRIT PETITION NO.13627 OF 2024 (GM-KEB)

BETWEEN:

1. CAPCO TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE
PROVISIONS OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
SJP-2, TOWER S2, GROUND FLOOR,
WIPRO SPECIAL ECONOMIC ZONE (SR),
DODDAKANNELLI, SARJAPUR ROAD,
BENGALURU-560035
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR
MR. ASHISH CHAWLA.

2. WIPRO LIMITED
A COMPANY INCORPORATED UNDER THE
PROVISIONS OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT
WIPRO CORPORATE OFFICE,
DODDAKANNELLI, SARJAPUR,
BENGALURU-560035
REPRESENTED BY ITS
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
MR. JATINDER SINGH.

...PETITIONERS

(BY SMT. TWINKLE J. CHADWA, ADVOCATE FOR
SRI LOMESH KIRAN N., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. BANGALORE ELECTRICITY SUPPLY
COMPANY LIMITED (BESCOM)
A COMPANY WHOLLY OWNED BY THE
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
HAVING ITS CORPORATE OFFICE AT

Digitally signed by
MAHALAKSHMI B M
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

BESCOM, K.R. CIRCLE,
BENGALURU-560001
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING DIRECTOR.

2. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
O & M (BESCOM) S13, 1ST MAIN ACES LAYOUT,
SINGASANDRA, HOSUR MAIN ROAD,
BANGALORE-560068.

3. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
MT DIVISION, BMASZ,
HSR LAYOUT, BESCOM,
BANGALORE-560102.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI H.V. DEVARAJU, ADVOCATE FOR R-1 TO R-3)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT OF CERTIORARI OR OTHER APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTTION QUASHING THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED MAY 07 2024 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.1 TO PETITIONER NO.2 (ANNEXURE-A) AND FURTHER PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT THERETO AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE K.S. HEMALEKHA

ORAL ORDER

The petitioners have approached this Court *inter alia* seeking for the following reliefs:

"I. Issue a writ of Certiorari or other appropriate writ, order or direction quashing:

a. The Impugned Order dated May 7, 2024 issued by the Respondent No.1 to Petitioner No.2 bearing number ಕ್ರ.ಸಂಃ ಸಕಾನಿಇಂ(ಎ)/ಸಲೆ/ಹಿಸ/ಎಸ್-13/272-73 (Annexure-A) and further proceeding pursuant thereto;

b. The Demand Notice dated February 7, 2024, bearing number ಕ್ರ.ಸಂಃ ಸಕಾನಿಇಂ(ಎ)/ಸಲೆ/ಹಿಸ/ಎಸ್-13/3379, (Annexure-D) issued by the Respondent No.2 to Petitioner No.2, and :

II. Issue a writ of Mandamus or other appropriate writ, order or direction restraining the Respondents from taking any action against the Petitioner, including but not limited to disconnecting supply of electricity to the said connection of RR No. S8HT146 registered in favour of the Petitioner No.2; and

III. Grant such other interim reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the above circumstances of the case, in the interests of justice and equity."

2. The dispute arises out of levy of back billing charges to the tune of ₹.3,56,35,152/- on the allegations of misuse of concessional tariff under HT-2A category.

3. This Court by order dated 23.05.2024 granted interim protection staying the impugned proceedings, subject to payment under concessional tariff.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners has filed I.A.No.1/2026 stating that during the pendency of the proceedings, the respondents issued a revised demand notice dated 10.03.2026, revising the liability to ₹.3,61,79,882/-. The petitioner No.2 has accepted the revised demand and remitted the entire amount. An affidavit has been filed recording that the dispute in respect of RR.No.S8HT146 stands fully and finally settled.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the issuance of revised demand, and payment of the settled amount, the entire dispute between the parties stands resolved and nothing survives for further adjudication in this writ petition.

6. In light of the subsequent developments and categorical statement made on behalf of the petitioners

that the dispute has been amicably settled and fully resolved, this Court is of the considered view that no further adjudication on merits is warranted. Accordingly, this Court pass the following:

ORDER

The Writ Petition stands ***disposed of*** in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties pursuant to the revised demand notice dated 10.03.2026 and payment made thereunder.

The amount in deposit shall be refunded to petitioner No.1.

Sd/-

JUSTICE K.S. HEMALEKHA

CKL
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 1