IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 26TH DAY OF MARCH, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO. 1040 OF 2024 (DEC)

BETWEEN:

1. SMT. KANAKAMMA
   W/O. VENKATESHULU
   AGED ABOUT 76 YEARS
   R/AT NO.113, JAYAMMA BUILDING
   MEDAHALLI VILLAGE
   VIRGONAGAR POST
   BENGALURU - 560049

2. SMT. NAGARATHNA
   D/O. VENKATESHULU
   W/O. JAYAKUMAR
   AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
   R/AT NO.55, 2ND MAIN
   3RD CROSS, MEDAHALLI EXTENSION
   VIRGONAGAR POST
   BENGALURU - 560049

Digitally signed by HEMALATHA J
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

3. SMT. CHANDRAKALA
   W/O. GUNASHEKAR
   D/O. VENKATESHULU
   AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS
   R/AT NO.113, JAYAMMA BUILDING
   MEDAHALLI VILLAGE
   VIRGONAGAR POST
   BENGALURU 560049

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI. BABU D R., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. MR. ROSHAN MANAVALAN
   S/O. SRI. T MANAVALAN
   AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS
   R/AT NEW NO.22, OLD NO.20
   GOPALKRISHNA ROAD, T.NAGAR
   CHENNAI - 600017

2. MR. SHARATH MANAVALAN
   S/O. SRI. T. MANAVALAN
   AGED ABOUT 37 YEARS
   RA/T NEW NO.22, OLD NO.20
   GOPALKRISHNA ROAD, T.NAGAR
   CHENNAI - 600017

3. MR. RAMESH
   S/O. LATE VENKATESHULU
   AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS
   R/AT NO.319, OM SAI NILAYA
   1ST MAIN, 1ST CROSS
   NEAR SATSANG ASHRAM
   MEDAHALLI VILLAGE
   VIRGONAGAR POST
   BANGALORE - 560049

4. MR. RAJ KUMAR
   S/O. LATE VENKATESHULU
   AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS
   R/AT NO.113,
   JAYAMMA BUILDING
   MEDAHALLI VILLAGE
   VIRGONAGAR POST
   BANGALORE - 560049

…RESPONDENTS

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS FILED UNDER SECTION 96 READ WITH ORDER 41 RULE 1 OF CPC., AGAINST THE JUDGMENT AND DECREE DATED 20.02.2024 PASSED ON IA NO.III IN OS NO.1793/2018 ON THE FILE OF PRINCIPAL SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, BENGALURU RURAL DISTRICT,

BENGALURU., ALLOWING THE IA NO.III FILED UNDER ORDER VII RULE (a) AND (d) OF CPC., FOR REJECTION OF PLAINT.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R. NATARAJ

ORAL JUDGMENT

There is no representation for the appellants.

2. The office objections are not complied, despite granting six opportunities.

3. Hence, appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

4. In view of dismissal of main appeal, pending interlocutory applications, if any do not survive for consideration and the same stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(R. NATARAJ)
JUDGE

HJ
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 4